

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Oxford Industries, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:

 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

 - (5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:

 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

 - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

OXFORD

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD JUNE 17, 2015

Notice is hereby given that the 2015 annual meeting of shareholders of Oxford Industries, Inc. will be held on Wednesday, June 17, 2015 at 3:00 p.m., local time, at The Fifth Floor Conference Center at 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. The purposes of the meeting are to:

- (1) Elect three directors nominated by our Board and named in the accompanying proxy statement to serve for a term of three years and until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
- (2) Approve the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015;
- (3) Hold an advisory, non-binding vote to approve executive compensation; and
- (4) Transact any other business that properly comes before the annual meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the annual meeting.

Shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 17, 2015 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or at any adjournment or postponement of the annual meeting. This notice and the accompanying proxy statement are being mailed to shareholders beginning on or about May 15, 2015.


A list of our shareholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting will be available for examination by any shareholder, or his or her agent or attorney, at the annual meeting. The enclosed proxy is solicited on behalf of our Board. Reference is made to the accompanying proxy statement for further information with respect to the items of business to be transacted at the annual meeting.

Your vote is important. Regardless of whether you plan to attend the meeting, you are encouraged to vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or by signing and returning the enclosed proxy card. Please review the instructions on each of your voting options described on the enclosed proxy card. You may revoke your proxy at any time before the meeting and, if you attend the meeting, you may elect to vote in person. If your shares are held in an account at a bank or broker, your bank or broker will vote your shares for you if you provide voting instructions. In the absence of instructions, your broker can only vote your shares on limited matters.

Attendance at the meeting is limited to shareholders, those holding proxies from shareholders, and invited guests such as members of the media. If your shares are held in an account at a bank or broker, you should bring the notice or voting instruction form you received from your bank or broker, or obtain a valid proxy card from your bank or broker, in order to gain admission to the meeting.

May 11, 2015

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Thomas E. Campbell
*Executive Vice President—Law and Administration,
General Counsel and Secretary*

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on June 17, 2015: This proxy statement and our fiscal 2015 annual report to shareholders are available on the Internet at <http://www.proxymaterials.oxfordinc.com>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROXY STATEMENT	1
INTRODUCTION	1
INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING	1
Shares Outstanding	1
Voting	1
Broker Discretionary Voting; Broker Non-Votes	2
Changing Your Vote	2
Quorum	2
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS	2
Directors	2
Director Independence	7
Corporate Governance Guidelines; Conduct Policies	8
Board Meetings and Committees of our Board of Directors	8
Meetings of Non-Employee Directors	10
Board Leadership	10
Board's Role in Risk Oversight	11
Website Information	12
Director Nomination Process	12
Director Compensation	12
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	14
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	15
Introduction	15
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	15
Compensation Tables	27
Potential Payments on Termination or Change of Control	30
NOMINATING, COMPENSATION & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE REPORT	31
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	31
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	31
AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS	32
Report of the Audit Committee	32
Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	33
Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors	33
COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP BY MANAGEMENT AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS	34
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	35
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	36
PROPOSALS FOR SHAREHOLDER CONSIDERATION	36
Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors	36
Proposal No. 2: Approval of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	37
Proposal No. 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation	38
Other Matters	38
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	39
Annual Report on Form 10-K	39
Submission of Director Candidates by Shareholders	39
Shareholder Proposals	39
Communications to our Board of Directors	40
Proxy Solicitation	40

OXFORD

999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 688
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

PROXY STATEMENT

For Annual Shareholders Meeting To Be Held on June 17, 2015

INTRODUCTION

This proxy statement contains information relating to the annual meeting of shareholders of Oxford Industries, Inc. to be held on Wednesday, June 17, 2015, beginning at 3:00 p.m., local time. The annual meeting will be held at The Fifth Floor Conference Center at 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. You may contact our Investor Relations Department at (404) 659-2424 to obtain directions to the site of the annual meeting.

We will begin mailing this proxy statement, the attached Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and the accompanying proxy card on or about May 15, 2015 to all holders of our common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Along with this proxy statement, we are also sending our Annual Report to Shareholders for fiscal 2014, which ended on January 31, 2015.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING

Shares Outstanding

You may vote at our 2015 annual shareholders meeting if you owned shares of our common stock as of the close of business on April 17, 2015, the record date for the annual meeting. As of the record date, there were 16,575,215 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding. You are entitled to one vote for each share of our common stock that you owned on the record date.

Voting

If, on April 17, 2015, your shares of Oxford common stock were registered directly in your name with Computershare, our transfer agent, then you are a shareholder of record. As a shareholder of record, you may vote using one of the following methods:

- by voting over the Internet by following the instructions contained on the enclosed proxy card;
- by voting by telephone in accordance with the instructions contained on the enclosed proxy card;
- by signing and returning the enclosed proxy (even if the proxy does not include your voting instructions); or
- by attending the annual meeting and voting in person.

If you are a shareholder of record and you sign and return your proxy card but do not include voting instructions, your proxy will be voted as recommended by our Board or, if no recommendation is given, in the discretion of the proxies designated on the proxy card, to the extent permitted under applicable law.

If you are a shareholder of record, your shares will not be voted unless you submit a proxy or attend the annual meeting and vote in person. If you vote over the Internet or by telephone, please have your proxy card available at the time you submit your voting instructions. The Internet and telephone voting procedures provided on the enclosed proxy card are designed to authenticate shareholders' identities and to confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded.

If, on April 17, 2015, your shares were held in an account at a bank or broker, like most of our shareholders, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by that

[Table of Contents](#)

organization. The bank or broker holding your account is considered the shareholder of record for purposes of voting at the annual meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your bank or broker on how to vote the shares in your account. Telephone and/or Internet voting may also be available to direct your bank or broker on how to vote the shares in your account but the availability of telephone and/or Internet voting will depend on the voting processes of your bank or broker. Please follow the directions on your proxy card or voting instruction form carefully. Even if your shares are held in an account at a bank or broker, you are invited to attend the annual meeting. However, since you are not the shareholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a valid proxy card from your bank or broker and, in order to gain admission to the meeting, you should bring the notice or voting instruction form you received from your bank or broker, or obtain a valid proxy card from your bank or broker.

Broker Discretionary Voting; Broker Non-Votes

If you hold shares through an account with a bank or broker, your shares may be voted by the bank or broker even if you do not provide voting instructions. Banks and brokerage firms have the authority, under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"), to vote shares in their discretion on certain "routine" matters when their customers do not provide voting instructions. Under the NYSE's rules, as currently in effect, only Proposal No. 2 (approval of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015) is considered a routine matter.

The other proposals to be addressed at the annual meeting are considered "non-routine" matters under the NYSE's rules. When a bank or brokerage firm has not received voting instructions from the beneficial holder of the shares with respect to a non-routine matter, the bank or brokerage firm cannot vote the shares on that proposal. This is called a "broker non-vote." Broker non-votes will be counted as present at the annual meeting for quorum purposes but will not be counted as entitled to vote on the non-routine matter. **Therefore, if your shares are held in an account at a bank or broker, it is important that you provide voting instructions to your bank or broker so that your vote on these proposals is counted.**

Changing Your Vote

If you are a shareholder of record, you may revoke or change your vote with respect to the shares of our common stock that are registered directly in your name by doing any of the following:

- delivering a written notice of revocation to our Secretary before the vote is taken at the annual meeting, such notice of revocation dated later than the proxy you want to revoke;
- prior to the applicable cutoff time, changing your vote using the Internet or telephone methods for voting described above;
- properly executing and delivering a later-dated proxy before the vote is taken at the annual meeting; or
- voting in person at the annual meeting (your attendance at the annual meeting, in and of itself, will not revoke the earlier proxy).

If your shares are held in an account at a bank or broker, then you must follow the instructions provided by your bank or broker in order to revoke or change your vote with respect to those shares held in street name.

Quorum

In order for us to conduct the annual meeting, the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date must be present, in person or by proxy, at the annual meeting. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will be counted as shares present at the meeting for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Directors

Under our articles of incorporation, our Board is to consist of at least nine members, with the specific number fixed by our bylaws, as amended from time to time. Currently, our bylaws have fixed the number of directors at 11.

J. Hicks Lanier, one of our directors and our current Chairman, has reached the mandatory retirement age under our bylaws and, accordingly, is retiring from our Board at the conclusion of the annual meeting. Mr. Lanier has served as the Chairman of our Board since 1981, and also served as our Chief Executive Officer from 1981 until his retirement at the end of

[Table of Contents](#)

2012. Mr. Lanier has provided strong leadership to our company as we transformed from our historical domestic manufacturing roots into an international apparel design, sourcing and marketing company with a portfolio of owned and licensed lifestyle brands and company-owned retail operations. We thank Mr. Lanier for his many years of service to our company.

Following Mr. Lanier's retirement, there will be a vacancy on our Board. Our Board may choose to immediately fill the vacancy, allow the vacancy to remain open until a suitable candidate is located and elected, or amend our bylaws to reduce the number of directors serving on our Board.

Our Board has nominated the following current directors for re-election at the annual meeting: Thomas C. Chubb III; John R. Holder; and Clarence H. Smith.

The following table sets forth, as of April 17, 2015, certain information concerning our nominees for director and our continuing directors, as well as a description of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our Board to conclude that each of these individuals should serve as a director.

Name	Age	Director Since	Positions Held and Specific Experience and Qualifications
Thomas C. Chubb III	51	2012	<p>Mr. Chubb is our Chief Executive Officer and President. He has held that position since 2013. Mr. Chubb served as our President starting in 2009, as our Executive Vice President from 2004 until 2009, and as our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from 1999 to 2004.</p> <p>Mr. Chubb has been employed by our company for 25 years, and has been an executive with our company for more than 15 years. In his capacity as our President starting in 2009, Mr. Chubb provided direct oversight with respect to the operations of our Ben Sherman Group and our Lanier Clothes Group and, starting with our acquisition of those operations in 2010, provided direct oversight with respect to the operations of our Lilly Pulitzer Group. In addition, Mr. Chubb's previous experience as our General Counsel gives him key insights into the business, legal and regulatory environment in which we operate. Mr. Chubb's long history with our organization, his leadership skills and his knowledge of our businesses and industry serve our Board well.</p>
Thomas C. Gallagher	67	2013 <i>(previous service 1991 - 2007)</i>	<p>Mr. Gallagher is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Genuine Parts Company, a distributor of automotive replacement parts, industrial replacement parts, office products and electrical/electronic materials. He was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Genuine Parts Company in 2004 and Chairman of the Board of Genuine Parts Company in 2005. Mr. Gallagher served as President of Genuine Parts Company from 1990 to 2012 and Chief Operating Officer of Genuine Parts Company from 1990 until 2004.</p> <p>Mr. Gallagher has approximately 25 years of executive-level responsibilities with a NYSE-traded public company; brings extensive experience serving on the boards of directors of other companies, including having served on the board of directors of Genuine Parts Company for more than 20 years and having previously served on the boards of directors of STI Classic Funds, STI Classic Variable Trust and National Services Industries, Inc.; and is extremely familiar with our company, having previously served on our Board for more than 15 years, including at the outset of our transformation away from our historical domestic private label manufacturing roots. Mr. Gallagher's business acumen, financial expertise and leadership skills are a valuable asset to our Board and Audit Committee.</p>

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Director Since</u>	<u>Positions Held and Specific Experience and Qualifications</u>
George C. Guynn	72	2007	<p>Mr. Guynn retired in 2006 from his position as President and CEO of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, where he worked his entire career. Mr. Guynn currently serves as a director of Acuity Brands, Inc. and served as a director of Genuine Parts Company until his retirement from that board as of its April 2015 annual meeting. Mr. Guynn serves on the Audit and Governance Committees of Acuity Brands, Inc. He is also a trustee of Ridgeworth Investments.</p> <p>Mr. Guynn's prior role as President and CEO of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, and the keen insight this experience has provided him into economic trends affecting the U.S. and global economies, provides our Board with information and insight in financial and regulatory issues. In addition, Mr. Guynn's financial and accounting experience with the Federal Reserve, as well as his experience as a member of the audit committee of Acuity Brands, Inc., and previously as a member of the audit committee of Genuine Parts Company, offer a high level of financial literacy and is a valuable asset to our Board and Audit Committee.</p>
John R. Holder	60	2009	<p>Mr. Holder is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Holder Properties, a commercial and residential real estate development, leasing and management company, and has held that position since 1989. Mr. Holder has served as Chief Executive Officer of Holder Properties since 1980. He is a member of the Board of Directors and Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committee of Genuine Parts Company and also serves on the Board of Directors of SunTrust Bank's Atlanta Region.</p> <p>Mr. Holder's strategic leadership in the growth of Holder Properties, which has been involved in over 10 million square feet of real estate development totaling in excess of \$1.5 billion, as well as his extensive involvement in the financial and marketing areas of that business, serves our Board well. His service as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Holder Properties, together with various board affiliations which has included civic organizations and membership on the Audit and Compensation, Nominating and Governance Committees of Genuine Parts Company, has given him leadership experience, business acumen and financial literacy beneficial to our Board and Audit Committee.</p>
J. Reese Lanier *	72	1974	<p>Mr. Lanier was self-employed in farming and related businesses and had this occupation for more than five years until his retirement in 2009.</p> <p>Mr. Lanier has been affiliated with our company in various official and unofficial capacities for more than 50 years, including having served as a director for more than 40 years. His father was one of the founders of our company. Mr. Lanier's deep knowledge of our business and industry, coupled with his business acumen as a sole proprietor, serves our Board well.</p>

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Director Since</u>	<u>Positions Held and Specific Experience and Qualifications</u>
Dennis M. Love	59	2008	<p>Mr. Love is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Printpack Inc., a manufacturer of flexible and specialty rigid packaging. Mr. Love was elected Chairman of Printpack Inc. in 2013, and has served as Chief Executive Officer of Printpack Inc. since 1987. Mr. Love also served as President of Printpack Inc. from 1987 until 2013. Mr. Love has been a director of AGL Resources, Inc. since 1999, currently serving as a member of its Audit and Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committees. Mr. Love is also a director of the Cleveland Group, Inc. and a member of the SunTrust Advisory Board.</p> <p>Mr. Love has more than 25 years of experience as a chief executive and has extensive service as a director of public companies, including having served on the Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee of Carastar Industries, Inc. and the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee of AGL Resources, Inc. The insight Mr. Love gained through these board affiliations serves our Board and our Nominating, Compensation & Governance Committee well. In addition, Mr. Love's stewardship of Printpack Inc.'s international expansion, as well as successful domestic and international acquisitions, allows him to offer key insights into our operations and strategic decision making.</p>
Clarence H. Smith	64	2003	<p>Mr. Smith is Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc., a full-service home furnishings retailer. Mr. Smith was elected Chairman of Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc. in 2012 and has served as its President and Chief Executive Officer since 2003. He served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc. from 2002 to 2003, Chief Operating Officer of Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc. from 2000 to 2002, and Senior Vice President, General Manager-Stores of Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc. from 1996 to 2000. Mr. Smith serves on the Executive Committee of Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc.</p> <p>Mr. Smith has almost 20 years of senior management experience at Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc., an Atlanta-based, publicly traded company with over 100 stores in 16 states in the Southern, mid-Atlantic and Midwestern regions of the United States, which affords our Board and Nominating, Compensation & Governance Committee valuable insight into compensation, governance and general business practices at a company with a brand management focus and retail and other direct-to-consumer business activities.</p>

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Director Since</u>	<u>Positions Held and Specific Experience and Qualifications</u>
Clyde C. Tuggle	53	2011	<p>Mr. Tuggle is Senior Vice President and Chief Public Affairs and Communications Officer of The Coca-Cola Company. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Tuggle worked in Coca-Cola's Central European Division Office in Vienna where he held a variety of positions, including as Director of Operations Development, Deputy to the Division President and Region Manager for Austria. In 2000, Mr. Tuggle was elected Vice President of The Coca-Cola Company. In 2003, he was elected Senior Vice President of The Coca-Cola Company and appointed Director of Worldwide Public Affairs and Communications. From 2005 until 2008, Mr. Tuggle served as President of Coca-Cola's Russia, Ukraine & Belarus Business Unit. From 2008 to 2009, Mr. Tuggle served as Coca-Cola's Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs and Productivity. In 2009, Mr. Tuggle was named Coca-Cola's Senior Vice President, Global Public Affairs and Communications. Mr. Tuggle has served on the Board of Directors of Georgia Power Company since 2012.</p> <p>Mr. Tuggle has more than 10 years of executive management experience at a publicly traded company heavily focused on brand management, including oversight of various aspects of Coca-Cola's international operations that serve our Board well. In addition, Mr. Tuggle's experience at Coca-Cola includes oversight of investor relations and public communications issues that provide key insights to our Board and Audit Committee.</p>
Helen Ballard	60	1998	<p>Ms. Ballard founded Ballard Designs, Inc. in 1983 and served as Chief Executive Officer until she retired in 2002. Ballard Designs, Inc. is a home furnishing catalog business which is currently part of HSN, Inc. Ms. Ballard also previously served as a member of the Board of Directors of Cornerstone Brands, Inc., which was organized as a conglomerate of companies selling home and leisure goods and casual apparel through catalogs primarily aimed at affluent, well-educated consumers ages 35 to 60.</p> <p>Ms. Ballard has approximately 20 years of experience in a chief executive capacity. Ms. Ballard's experience in direct-to-consumer businesses, including a catalog business, in particular with business activities aimed at demographics overlapping those of our various operating groups, serves our Board well.</p>

[Table of Contents](#)

Name	Age	Director Since	Positions Held and Specific Experience and Qualifications
E. Jenner Wood III	63	1995	Mr. Wood was named Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Atlanta Division of SunTrust Bank in 2014 and has served as a Corporate Executive Vice President of SunTrust Banks, Inc. since 1994. Mr. Wood served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Atlanta/Georgia Division of SunTrust Bank from 2010 to 2013 and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Georgia/North Florida Division of SunTrust Bank from 2013 through March 2014. Prior to that, Mr. Wood had served as President, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SunTrust Bank Central Group from 2002 to 2010. Mr. Wood is a director of The Southern Company and Genuine Parts Company. Mr. Wood previously served as a director of Crawford & Company until his retirement from that position in July 2013. Mr. Wood also previously served as a director of Georgia Power Company until his election to the Board of Directors of that entity's parent company, The Southern Company, in 2012.

Mr. Wood's professional career includes more than 20 years in executive management positions with SunTrust Banks, Inc. and its various affiliates. Mr. Wood's insights with respect to financial issues and the financial services industry generally, including as it relates to the retail and business aspects of SunTrust Bank's operations, together with his extensive experience on the boards of directors and committees of various public and private companies, make him a valuable asset to our Board.

* J. Hicks Lanier, our Chairman who will be retiring at the conclusion of the annual meeting, and J. Reese Lanier are first cousins.

Director Independence

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that we will have a majority of "independent" directors under the NYSE's listing standards, as determined by the Board, and that, at least annually, our Nominating, Compensation & Governance Committee, or NC&G Committee, will review each relationship that exists with a director and his or her related interests for the purpose of determining whether the director is independent. Based in part on our NC&G Committee's review, our Board annually considers the independence of each of our directors, as well as upon learning about intervening events that may impact director independence.

In March 2015, our NC&G Committee and full Board considered director independence. As part of this consideration, our NC&G Committee and full Board broadly considered all relevant facts and circumstances, including the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards and all relevant transactions and relationships between each director (and his or her immediate family and affiliates) and our company and management to determine whether any relationship might impair the director's ability to make independent judgments.

Based on this review and consistent with the recommendation of our NC&G Committee, our Board affirmatively determined that the following nine directors are independent: Thomas C. Gallagher; George C. Guynn; John R. Holder; J. Reese Lanier; Dennis M. Love; Clarence H. Smith; Clyde C. Tuggle; Helen Ballard; and E. Jenner Wood III.

In evaluating the independence of our directors, our Board and NC&G Committee gave particular consideration to the following relationships and transactions:

- Mr. Thomas C. Gallagher served on our Board from 1991 until 2007, when he resigned in order to eliminate a director interlock relationship that existed based on Mr. J. Hicks Lanier's service on the Compensation Committee of Genuine Parts Company; our Chairman and previous Chief Executive Officer J. Hicks Lanier served as a member of the Board and chair of the Compensation Committee of Genuine Parts Company until his retirement from those positions in 2013; and two of our other directors, John R. Holder and E. Jenner Wood III, currently serve as directors of Genuine Parts Company, with a third member of our Board, George C. Guynn, having recently served as a director of Genuine Parts Company until his retirement from that board as of its April 2015 annual meeting;

[Table of Contents](#)

- Mr. J. Reese Lanier beneficially owns or has the ability to direct the voting of 1.3% of our outstanding common stock; Mr. Lanier was an employee of our company more than 45 years ago; Mr. Lanier is a first cousin of Mr. J. Hicks Lanier, our Chairman and retired Chief Executive Officer; and Mr. Lanier's son served as one of our executive officers until October 2007;
- Mr. Clyde C. Tuggle's employer, The Coca-Cola Company, is a vendor to our company, including providing products to our Tommy Bahama Group's restaurant division in the ordinary course of business; and
- Mr. E. Jenner Wood III is employed by a subsidiary of SunTrust Banks, Inc.; during 2014, our company continued its long-standing banking relationship with SunTrust, which includes a syndicated, revolving credit facility that we maintain with SunTrust; the payments we made to SunTrust represented an immaterial percentage of the Company's and SunTrust's revenues in 2014, and Mr. Wood did not personally participate in or benefit from this relationship.

Our Board determined that these payments and relationships were not material to a determination that the applicable directors were independent. As a result and taking into consideration, among other things, the objectivity of Messrs. Gallagher, J. Reese Lanier, Tuggle and Wood at previous meetings of our Board, our Board determined that each is independent.

Mr. J. Hicks Lanier served as our Chief Executive Officer until his retirement at the end of 2012 and, accordingly, is not independent. Mr. Chubb is currently our Chief Executive Officer and President, and therefore not independent.

Corporate Governance Guidelines; Conduct Policies

Our Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that set forth certain guidelines for the operation of the Board and its committees. In accordance with its charter, our NC&G Committee periodically reviews and assesses the adequacy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines. As provided under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Board annually conducts a self-evaluation. Our NC&G Committee oversees our Board's self-evaluation process. Our Board has the authority to engage its own advisors and consultants.

Our Board has also adopted a Code of Conduct for all of our directors, officers and employees, as well as an ethical conduct policy that applies to our senior financial officers, including, among others, our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer and controller. We intend to disclose amendments to our Code of Conduct and our ethical conduct policy for our senior financial officers (other than technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendments) and material waivers of (or failure to enforce) any provisions of these conduct policies (if applicable to any of our directors or executive officers) on our Internet website at www.oxfordinc.com.

Board Meetings and Committees of our Board of Directors

During fiscal 2014, our Board held four meetings and committees of our Board held a total of seven meetings. During fiscal 2014, each of our directors attended 100% of the meetings of our Board and of all committees of which the director was a member during the period he or she was a director or committee member.

Although we do not have a formal policy requiring attendance by directors at our annual meetings of shareholders, as stated in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, we encourage directors to attend our annual meetings of shareholders in person. In order to facilitate attendance by our directors, we generally schedule our annual meetings of shareholders to coincide with the date of a quarterly meeting of our Board. All of our directors attended our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our Board has a standing Executive Committee, Audit Committee and NC&G Committee. The following table identifies the members of each of these committees as of May 11, 2015 and the number of official meetings held by each of these committees (and actions taken by written consent in lieu of meetings) during fiscal 2014.

Name	Executive Committee	Audit Committee	NC&G Committee
Thomas C. Chubb III	X		
Thomas C. Gallagher*		X	
George C. Guynn*		chair	
John R. Holder*		X	
J. Hicks Lanier	chair		
J. Reese Lanier*			
Dennis M. Love*	X		X
Clarence H. Smith*	X		chair
Clyde C. Tuggle*		X	
Helen Ballard*			X
E. Jenner Wood III*	X		
Total Number of Meetings	0	5	2
Actions by Written Consent	0	1	5

* Independent Director

Executive Committee

Our Executive Committee has the power to exercise the authority of the full Board in managing the business and affairs of our company, except that our Executive Committee does not have certain powers that are reserved to our full Board under Georgia law. In practice, our Executive Committee serves as a means for taking action requiring our Board's approval between its regularly scheduled meetings.

Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee was established in accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (which we refer to as the "SEC") to assist our Board in fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to oversight of the following: (1) the integrity of our financial statements, reporting processes and systems of internal controls; (2) our compliance with applicable laws and regulations; (3) the qualifications and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm; and (4) the performance of our internal audit department and our independent registered public accounting firm.

The principal duties and responsibilities of our Audit Committee are set forth in its charter. Pursuant to its charter, our Audit Committee has the express authority to retain, at our company's expense, any outside legal, accounting or other advisors that it deems necessary or helpful to the performance of its responsibilities. Our Audit Committee may exercise additional authority prescribed from time to time by our Board.

Our Board annually evaluates the financial expertise and independence of the members of our Audit Committee. Following its review in March 2015, our Board determined that each of Mr. Guynn and Mr. Holder is an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by SEC rules and regulations, and all of the members of our Audit Committee are financially literate in accordance with the NYSE's governance listing standards and SEC rules and regulations.

Nominating, Compensation & Governance Committee (or NC&G Committee)

The purpose of our NC&G Committee is to: (1) assist our Board in fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the compensation of our executive officers; (2) recommend candidates for all directorships to be filled; (3) identify individuals qualified to serve as members of our Board; (4) review and recommend committee appointments; (5) take a leadership role in shaping our corporate governance; (6) develop and recommend to our Board for adoption our Corporate Governance Guidelines; (7) lead our Board in an annual review of its own performance; and (8) perform other functions that it deems necessary or appropriate. Our Board has determined that all members of our NC&G Committee are independent in accordance with the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards. Pursuant to its charter, our NC&G Committee has the

express authority to retain or obtain the advice of a compensation consultant, independent legal counsel or other advisor, at our company's expense.

Our NC&G Committee also has the following responsibilities, among others, related to compensation matters: (1) administering our stock option and restricted stock plans; (2) administering our Executive Performance Incentive Plan, or "EPIP"; (3) reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, evaluating our Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of those goals and objectives and determining the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer based upon this evaluation; (4) reviewing and approving the compensation of our non-CEO executive officers; and (5) making recommendations to our Board regarding certain incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans. In addition, as part of its oversight of our overall compensation program, our NC&G Committee considers our compensation policies and procedures, including the incentives that they create and factors that may influence excessive risk taking.

In light of NYSE rules, our Board evaluated the independence of the members of our NC&G Committee. Following its review in March 2015, our Board determined that all of the members of our NC&G Committee meet the enhanced independence standards applicable to compensation committee members in accordance with the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards.

For information about the role of executive officers and compensation consultants in determining compensation, see "*Executive Compensation—Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" below.

Meetings of Non-Employee Directors

Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our non-employee directors periodically meet separately in executive sessions. Mr. Wood, as our presiding independent director, chaired the meetings of our non-employee directors during fiscal 2014.

Board Leadership

Our Board is responsible for governing the affairs of our company effectively for the benefit of our shareholders. In discharging this responsibility, our Board relies on the judgment, business acumen, and experience of our qualified management team. Our directors believe that the appropriate leadership structure for our Board may change from time to time. As stated in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Board does not have a policy as to whether our Chief Executive Officer should also serve as chair of our Board. The Board makes this decision as it deems appropriate from time to time based upon the relevant factors applicable to each case. At least annually, the Board deliberates on and discusses the appropriate leadership structure for our Board based on the needs of our company.

Current Leadership Structure

Our Board is currently comprised of nine independent directors; one non-independent, non-management director (our retired Chief Executive Officer, Mr. J. Hicks Lanier); and one management director (our current Chief Executive Officer and President, Mr. Chubb). Until his retirement as our Chief Executive Officer in December 2012, Mr. J. Hicks Lanier served in the dual capacity of Chief Executive Officer and chair of our Board. Based upon his insights into the day-to-day operations of our business and his long tenure on our Board and the continuity that his experience offered, we believed that our company and shareholders were best served by having Mr. Lanier serve in both capacities.

At the time of Mr. Lanier's retirement from his position as our Chief Executive Officer in December 2012, we separated the roles of chair of our Board (Mr. Lanier) and Chief Executive Officer (Mr. Chubb). At that time, our Board considered the myriad factors relevant to establishing an effective leadership structure. Based upon these considerations, including Mr. Lanier's distinct qualifications to provide advice to, and oversight of, management, our Board believed that Mr. Lanier's continued service as the chair of our Board was appropriate, with Mr. Chubb, in his capacity as our Chief Executive Officer, focusing on the daily operations of our business, the activities of our operating groups, our business objectives and other factors impacting our business.

We also have a presiding independent director (Mr. E. Jenner Wood III). In his capacity as the presiding independent director, Mr. Wood sets the agenda for, and chairs, executive sessions of our non-employee directors; serves as a liaison between independent directors and our Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer; and serves as a liaison between our shareholders and our independent directors. As presiding independent director, Mr. Wood is in regular contact with our

Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer about our operating results and activities, risks to our business and business prospects.

Our Board believes this structure has served us well since Mr. Lanier's retirement as Chief Executive Officer.

Change to Leadership Structure in June 2015

Mr. Lanier is retiring from our Board at the conclusion of the 2015 annual meeting, as he has reached the retirement age set forth in our bylaws. In anticipation of Mr. Lanier's eventual retirement, our Board deliberated extensively over several months about the most appropriate leadership structure for the future. Our Board currently expects that Mr. Chubb, our Chief Executive Officer and President, will be elected to also serve as the chair of our Board following Mr. Lanier's retirement. Our Board also expects that Mr. Wood will continue in his role as our presiding independent director.

In making its decision, our Board considered Mr. Chubb's leadership qualities, management capability, knowledge of the business and industry, the long-term, strategic perspective he has demonstrated over the course of many years, his performance as our Chief Executive Officer and his demonstrated focus on growing long-term shareholder value. The Board also noted that we have, in Mr. Wood, an active, engaged presiding independent director. We also have a supermajority of independent directors, regular meetings of our non-employee directors in executive session, and an Audit Committee and NC&G Committee (each of which reports to our full Board on a quarterly basis on significant committee activities) comprised solely of independent directors.

The Board recognizes that there is a variety of viewpoints concerning a board's optimal leadership structure, and considered all viewpoints in making its decision, including considerations around trends in board practices, statistical analyses on financial performance of companies with varying board leadership structures, the speed with which a combined Chair/CEO can identify company concerns and communicate this information to the other members of a board, and the ability of a combined Chair/CEO to provide superior information to the other members of a board given insights into the day-to-day issues faced by a company. Our Board also considered the historical Board leadership structure at our company and the current composition of our Board, as well as our management team, business and performance.

Based on the extensive review and consideration by our Board, our Board believes a leadership structure comprised of an executive chair and CEO, balanced with a strong lead independent director role tasked with significant specified duties, is in the best interests of our company and shareholders as we move forward beyond the 2015 annual meeting.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board is ultimately charged with overseeing our business, including risks to our business, on behalf of our shareholders. In order to fulfill this responsibility, our Audit Committee, pursuant to its charter, reviews our policies with respect to our company's risk assessment and risk management. At our Audit Committee's direction and with its oversight, we conduct an enterprise risk management program (which we refer to as the "ERM program") on an ongoing basis. At each quarterly meeting of our Audit Committee, a significant portion of time is devoted to a management report to the committee on the status of the ERM program and/or particular risks faced by our company. Our Audit Committee actively engages management on potential strategies for reducing, eliminating or mitigating the risks to our organization. Our Audit Committee regularly reports to our Board on our ERM program, and our management at least annually provides our Board with a full report on our ERM program. In addition to our ERM program, our Board examines specific business risks in its regular reviews of our operating groups and also on a company-wide basis as part of its regular strategic reviews.

As part of its oversight of our overall compensation program, our NC&G Committee considers our compensation policies and procedures, including the incentives that they create and factors that may influence excessive risk taking. In particular, our compensation program provides for short-term cash incentive payments to individuals throughout our company based on satisfaction of pre-established performance targets. For employees within our various operating groups, these performance targets may be based on performance by the operating group, as a whole, or a specific business unit or business location within that operating group. Each cash incentive award for an individual employee within our organization is subject to a maximum amount payable to the individual. Our senior management and, with respect to our executive officers, our compensation committee, approve applicable performance targets taking into consideration our detailed, internal budgets for upcoming fiscal periods. These members of senior management have access to daily retail sales data and receive monthly financial reports, and they review and analyze deviations from the budgeted plans to assess whether, among other things, the deviations were the result of inappropriate risk taking. We have concluded that our compensation policies and procedures are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company.

Website Information

We have posted our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Code of Conduct, our ethical conduct policy for our senior financial officers, our Audit Committee charter and our NC&G Committee charter under the "Corporate Governance" link under the "Investor Relations" tab on our Internet website at www.oxfordinc.com.

Director Nomination Process

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our NC&G Committee periodically reviews the skills and characteristics required of our directors in the context of the make-up of our Board. This assessment includes issues such as independence, expertise, age, diversity, general business knowledge and experience, financial literacy, availability and commitment, and other criteria that our NC&G Committee finds to be relevant.

Consistent with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our NC&G Committee recognizes that a diversity of viewpoints and practical experiences can enhance our Board's effectiveness. Accordingly, it is the practice of our NC&G Committee in evaluating the diversity of potential director candidates to give particular consideration to the diverse experiences and perspectives that a prospective candidate may bring to our Board. In order to accomplish its objectives, our NC&G Committee's evaluations of potential candidates generally involve a review of the candidate's background and credentials, interviews of a candidate by members of our Board, and discussions among our directors. Based on its evaluation in light of the foregoing factors, our NC&G Committee recommends candidates to our full Board which, in turn, selects candidates to be nominated for election by the shareholders or to be elected by our Board to fill a vacancy.

Director Compensation

Compensation Program for Fiscal 2014

During fiscal 2014, our non-employee directors received compensation in accordance with the following program guidelines:

- an annual stock retainer in the form of restricted stock (subject to a vesting period generally coinciding with one year of service on our Board) granted to each non-employee director with a grant date fair value of \$50,000;
- an annual cash retainer of \$30,000 payable in quarterly installments to each non-employee director;
- an additional \$12,500 annual cash retainer payable in quarterly installments to the chairs of our Audit Committee and our NC&G Committee; and
- a \$1,250 meeting fee for each committee or board meeting attended.

To further encourage our directors to enhance their ownership of our stock, our non-employee directors are given the option to elect to receive the \$30,000 annual cash retainer in the form of a one-time restricted stock grant having a grant date fair value of \$30,000. For fiscal 2014, two of our non-employee directors elected to receive the \$30,000 annual cash retainer in the form of restricted stock.

Director compensation is paid for the 12-month period commencing with each annual meeting of shareholders. The number of shares of our restricted stock to be issued in respect of each non-employee director's annual stock retainer (and in respect of the annual cash retainer, if a director elected to receive that portion of his retainer in the form of stock) was based on the closing price of our common stock as reported on the NYSE as of the grant date for the restricted stock.

Under our deferred compensation plan, our non-employee directors are eligible to defer receipt of up to 100% of their cash retainers and/or board and committee meeting fees. Non-employee directors are permitted to "invest" their deferred fees among a platform of investment options that are available to our eligible employees who participate in the plan. Our deferred compensation plan is an unfunded, non-qualified deferred compensation plan, and participants' account balances are subject to the claims of our company's creditors. In the event that our company becomes insolvent, participants in the plan would be unsecured general creditors with respect to their account balances, which we believe further aligns the interests of our participating directors with the long-term interests of our shareholders. Two of our non-employee directors participated in our deferred compensation plan during fiscal 2014.

Employee directors do not receive an annual retainer or meeting fees for their service on our Board.

Director Compensation for Fiscal 2014

The table below summarizes the compensation for our non-employee directors for fiscal 2014.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash(\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽²⁾	Total (\$)
Thomas C. Gallagher	41,314	49,936	623	91,873
George C. Guynn	53,814	49,936	623	104,373
John R. Holder	11,313	79,937	861	92,111
J. Hicks Lanier	37,564	49,936	623	88,123
J. Reese Lanier	35,064	49,936	623	85,623
Dennis M. Love	7,563	79,937	861	88,361
Clarence H. Smith	49,439	49,936	623	99,998
Clyde C. Tuggle	41,314	49,936	623	91,873
Helen Ballard	37,564	49,936	623	88,123
E. Jenner Wood III	35,064	49,936	623	85,623

- (1) The values for stock awards in this column represent the grant date fair value of restricted stock granted in fiscal 2014, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718; however, pursuant to SEC regulations, no reduction has been applied for estimated forfeitures. Information about the assumptions used to value these awards can be found under the captions "Equity Compensation" and "Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan" in Notes 1 and 7, respectively, in our Fiscal 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. As of January 31, 2015, Mr. Holder and Mr. Love each held 861 restricted shares of our common stock, while each of our other non-employee directors held 749 restricted shares of our common stock.
- (2) Represents the dollar value of dividends paid on unvested stock awards which was not factored into the grant date fair value for the stock. In addition, from time to time, our directors receive discounted and complimentary apparel and related merchandise. We do not believe that the aggregate incremental cost to us of these discounts and benefits exceeds \$10,000 for any of our directors and, in accordance with SEC rules and regulations, have excluded them from this table.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

All of our executive officers are elected by and serve at the discretion of our Board. The following table sets forth information about our executive officers as of April 17, 2015:

Name	Age	Title	Biography
Thomas C. Chubb III	51	Chief Executive Officer and President	Mr. Chubb is our Chief Executive Officer and President. He has held that position since 2013. Mr. Chubb served as our President starting in 2009, as our Executive Vice President from 2004 until 2009, and as our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from 1999 to 2004. Mr. Chubb has served as a member of our Board since 2012.
Scott A. Beaumont	61	CEO, Lilly Pulitzer Group	Mr. Beaumont is CEO, Lilly Pulitzer Group (one of our operating groups), and has held that position since 2010 when we acquired Sugartown Worldwide, Inc. and its Lilly Pulitzer® operations. Prior to our acquisition of Sugartown, Mr. Beaumont served as its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since co-founding the company in 1993. Mr. Beaumont has served as a member of the Board of Directors of CSS Industries, Inc. since 2005 and currently serves as a member of its Audit and Nominating and Governance Committees.
Thomas E. Campbell	51	Executive Vice President—Law and Administration, General Counsel and Secretary	Mr. Campbell is Executive Vice President-Law and Administration, General Counsel and Secretary and has held that position since 2014. Prior to his promotion in 2014, Mr. Campbell served as our Senior Vice President-Law and Administration, General Counsel and Secretary from 2011 to 2014, as our Senior Vice President-Law, General Counsel and Secretary from 2008 to 2011 and as our Vice President-Law, General Counsel and Secretary from 2006 to 2008.
K. Scott Grassmyer	54	Executive Vice President—Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Controller	Mr. Grassmyer is Executive Vice President-Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Controller and has served in this capacity since 2014. Prior to his promotion in 2014, Mr. Grassmyer served as our Senior Vice President-Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Controller from 2011 to 2014, as our Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Controller from 2008 to 2011 and as our Senior Vice President and Controller from 2004 to 2008. From 2003 to 2004, he served as our Vice President and Controller. Mr. Grassmyer was appointed our Controller in 2002.
J. Wesley Howard, Jr.	55	President, Lanier Clothes	Mr. Howard is President, Lanier Clothes (one of our operating groups), and has held that position since 2011. Since becoming President, Lanier Slates for Lanier Clothes in 1997, Mr. Howard has served in various capacities for Lanier Clothes, including as President, Special Programs from 2005 to 2010, as President, Brands and Special Programs during a portion of 2010 and as President, Sales and Merchandising during a portion of 2011.
Mark Maidment	45	CEO, Ben Sherman Group	Mr. Maidment is CEO, Ben Sherman Group (one of our operating groups), and has held that position since 2013. Prior to his promotion in 2013, Mr. Maidment served as Creative Director for Ben Sherman from 2005 to 2013 and as Design and Merchandising Director from 2002 to 2005.
Terry R. Pillow	62	CEO, Tommy Bahama Group	Mr. Pillow is CEO, Tommy Bahama Group (one of our operating groups), and has held that position since 2008. Prior to joining our company, from 2005 to 2006, Mr. Pillow served at Polo Ralph Lauren Corporation as President & Chief Executive Officer, Ralph Lauren Footwear.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Introduction

In this section of the proxy statement, we provide information about our executive compensation program specifically as it relates to our "named executive officers," or NEOs. This information includes: (1) a Compensation Discussion and Analysis discussing, among other things, how and why our NC&G Committee (which we refer to in this section of the proxy statement as our "compensation committee") made its fiscal 2014 compensation decisions for our NEOs; (2) the compensation tables required by the SEC's rules and regulations; and (3) a summary of certain limited arrangements with our NEOs that provide for payments upon defined change of control events or upon termination of employment.

For fiscal 2014, our NEOs are as follows:

- **Mr. Thomas C. Chubb III**, our Chief Executive Officer and President;
- **Mr. K. Scott Grassmyer**, our Executive Vice President-Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Controller;
- **Mr. Scott A. Beaumont**, CEO, Lilly Pulitzer Group;
- **Mr. Thomas E. Campbell**, our Executive Vice President-Law and Administration, General Counsel and Secretary; and
- **Mr. Terry R. Pillow**, CEO, Tommy Bahama Group.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

We are a global apparel company that designs, sources, markets and distributes products bearing the trademarks of our company-owned lifestyle brands, as well as certain licensed and private label apparel products. Our portfolio of owned brands includes Tommy Bahama®, Lilly Pulitzer® and Ben Sherman®. We distribute our company-owned lifestyle branded products through our direct to consumer channel, consisting of our retail stores and e-commerce sites and Tommy Bahama restaurants in select locations, and our wholesale distribution channel, which includes better department stores and specialty stores. During fiscal 2014, 90% of our net sales were from products bearing brands that we own, and 61% of our net sales were sales of our products through our direct to consumer channels of distribution.

Our business strategy is to develop and market compelling lifestyle brands and products that evoke a strong, emotional response from our target consumers. We consider "lifestyle" brands to be brands that have a clearly defined and targeted point of view inspired by an appealing lifestyle or attitude, such as the Tommy Bahama, Lilly Pulitzer and Ben Sherman brands. In executing our objectives, we strive to develop businesses that can drive sustained profitable growth and enhance long-term shareholder value.

Fiscal 2014 was another good year for us, with a mid-single digits percentage increase in our adjusted earnings per share, driven by higher operating income in our Lilly Pulitzer Group and improved operating results in our Ben Sherman Group. During the year, we continued to invest in our key lifestyle brands, which put downward pressure on our margins, and had strong full-year performances from both Tommy Bahama and Lilly Pulitzer.

- For fiscal 2014, consolidated net sales rose 9% to \$997.8 million from \$917.1 million in fiscal 2013.
- In fiscal 2014, Tommy Bahama's net sales increased 7% to \$627.5 million, reflecting increases in all channels of distribution and a comparable store sales increase of 4%, which we believe is noteworthy in light of recent conditions in our industry.
- In fiscal 2014, net sales at Lilly Pulitzer increased 22% from the prior year to \$167.7 million reflecting increases in all channels of distribution and a remarkable comparable store sales increase of 19%.
- In fiscal 2014, net sales for Ben Sherman increased to \$77.5 million due to strength in our direct to consumer operations, resulting in a more than \$2 million reduction in operating loss for the year. Our Ben Sherman operating group concluded fiscal 2014 on an improved trajectory, and with the aim of achieving long-term value for our shareholders, on March 26, 2015, we announced that we have initiated a process to sell the Ben Sherman business.

For our shareholders, we achieved a total shareholder return over the last three years of 18%. While our stock price at the end of fiscal 2014 was less than it was at the end of fiscal 2013, we have started 2015 well. Our stock price has risen 40%

during the first part of fiscal 2015, increasing from \$55.94 per share on January 30, 2015, the last trading day of fiscal 2014, to \$78.11 per share on May 1, 2015, the last trading day of our fiscal 2015 first quarter.

Consideration of Last Year's Advisory Shareholder Vote on NEO Compensation

At our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, we held an advisory vote seeking shareholder approval of a "say-on-pay" proposal approving our NEO compensation program. At the 2014 annual meeting, approximately 99% of the votes cast on our say-on-pay proposal were cast in support of our NEO compensation program, as described in our 2014 proxy statement. In light of the extraordinary shareholder support on last year's say-on-pay proposal, our compensation committee has continued to apply the same principles and general compensation programs for fiscal 2014. However, our compensation committee regularly evaluates market compensation practices, taking into consideration information relating to compensation paid by peers and information furnished by management and compensation consultants, and implements changes as it deems appropriate.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation programs are designed to:

- maintain a strong link between pay and performance;
- align our executive officers' interests with those of our shareholders; and
- ensure that we are able to attract and retain talented individuals.

Consistent with these objectives, our NEO compensation practices incorporate the following in consideration of the long-term best interests of our shareholders:

- we tie a significant percentage of each NEO's potential cash and total compensation opportunities to performance of our company and/or our operating groups;
- we do not provide our NEOs with tax gross-ups;
- our equity compensation awards are subject to a "double trigger" acceleration of vesting;
- in 2015, we adopted a stand-alone recoupment, or "clawback," policy pursuant to which we may seek to recover incentive-based compensation, defined broadly to include performance-based grants of cash or equity, from any current or former executive officer who received incentive-based compensation during the three-year period preceding the date on which we announce that we are required to restate any previously issued financial statements due to material non-compliance with any financial reporting requirement under federal securities laws;
- we do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on performance-based equity compensation awards during the applicable performance period;
- repricing of stock options is prohibited under our Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the "LTIP") absent shareholder approval;
- we maintain stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers;
- we have a retention guideline, or holding period, on exercised stock options and vested restricted stock that applies to our executive officers;
- we have an anti-hedging policy prohibiting our directors and executive officers from hedging the economic risk of ownership of our company's stock;
- we have a formal anti-pledging restriction applicable to our directors and executive officers; and
- we provide only modest perquisites, namely complimentary or discounted availability of our products, that serve the best interests of our business and are common practice in our industry.

Compensation Decision Process

Compensation Committee; Compensation Consultants. Pursuant to its charter, our compensation committee has the authority, with our company's funding, to retain or obtain the advice of a compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation

[Table of Contents](#)

of, among other things, chief executive officer and non-CEO executive officer compensation, provided, that it will retain such an advisor only after taking into consideration relevant factors relating to the advisor's independence from our management.

During fiscal 2014, our compensation committee again retained Mercer (US) Inc. ("Mercer") as its compensation consultant to assist with various executive compensation matters, including proposals for the total compensation paid to our executive officers, and the individual components of executive officer compensation, and market data, including the peer group, used by management in reviewing executive officer compensation and influencing our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations to the compensation committee on compensation paid to our other NEOs for fiscal 2014.

In relation to our compensation committee's retention of Mercer (US) Inc., our compensation committee considered various factors relating to the advisor's independence from our management, including those enumerated by the NYSE. As part of its evaluation, our compensation committee considered the following: Mercer's parent company provides certain insurance brokerage services to our company; the fees paid to Mercer's parent company in connection with those brokerage services represented a nominal amount of the revenues generated by that entity; Mercer's policies and procedures relating to conflicts of interest; the fact that the Mercer consultants that work with our company do not presently own any of our common stock; and certain present and historic business relationships between Mercer or its affiliates, on the one hand, and employers of certain of our compensation committee members. Following its review, our compensation committee concluded that Mercer was independent and that the engagement of Mercer did not raise a conflict of interest.

In addition, during fiscal 2013, our company's management retained Towers Watson to evaluate the short-term cash incentive programs throughout our company. Although the engagement was not focused on or specific to executive compensation, certain observations and recommendations by Towers Watson influenced certain management recommendations to, and decisions by, our compensation committee in respect of fiscal 2014.

[Table of Contents](#)

Key Participant Roles. The following table summarizes the significant roles of the various key participants, including those of certain of our executive officers, in the decision-making process with respect to NEO compensation, in particular for fiscal 2014:

Participant	Roles
Board of Directors	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reviews and approves changes in equity and cash incentive plans available to our NEOs (other than those generally available to employees of our company on a non-discriminatory basis), including submission of plans to our shareholders for approval as may be required• Appoints the members of our compensation committee
Compensation Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Establishes and communicates the performance objectives for our Chief Executive Officer• Evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer• Determines and approves the base salary and cash incentive award opportunities for our Chief Executive Officer• Reviews our Chief Executive Officer's performance evaluation and compensation recommendations for each of our other NEOs• Approves the base salary and cash incentive award opportunities for each of our other NEOs• Reviews and approves all equity compensation awards, including those to our NEOs• Oversees our company's risk profile that results from our compensation programs
Committee's Compensation Consultant	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reviewed compensation programs for our NEOs relative to market comparables and made recommendations for fiscal 2014 total and component NEO compensation• Reviewed and provided recommendations for peer group composition for fiscal 2014• Provided recommendations for program design for equity compensation programs and cash incentive plans for our NEOs
Company Compensation Consultant	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reviewed program design for cash incentive plans throughout our company and provided recommendations on changes for fiscal 2014
Chairman of the Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Regularly attends our compensation committee meetings• Provides input on performance of our Chief Executive Officer
Executive Officers	
<i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Regularly attends portions of our compensation committee meetings• Reviews performance of our other NEOs• Provides our compensation committee with base salary and target cash and equity incentive compensation recommendations for our other executive officers• Together with our Chief Financial Officer and other executive officers, recommends performance goals applicable to performance-based compensation

[Table of Contents](#)

Participant	Roles
<p><i>Executive Vice President—Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Controller</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regularly attends portions of our compensation committee meetings • Provides budget information and preliminary recommendations to our Chief Executive Officer and, ultimately, to our compensation committee on performance goals applicable to performance-based compensation • Provides and certifies financial information used in determining satisfaction of performance targets • Assists with design and implementation of compensation programs • Regularly attends portions of our compensation committee meetings
<p><i>Executive Vice President—Law and Administration, Secretary and General Counsel</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepares and provides agenda materials for our compensation committee meetings • Oversees review of market data on executive officer compensation, including applicable ranges of base salary and total cash compensation paid to comparable executives at comparator companies • Assists with design and implementation of compensation programs • Updates and summarizes key legal and corporate governance developments relating to compensation practices

Market Data. We utilize market surveys to obtain a general understanding of compensation practices and trends, and in evaluating market comparisons of compensation paid to our NEOs, when making compensation recommendations and decisions for our NEOs. For fiscal 2014 compensation reviews, we utilized the applicable Kenexa Global Consumer Goods Surveys; Mercer's Apparel and Retail Industry Surveys; and Towers Watson's General and Retail/Wholesale Top Management Industry Survey Reports on Executive Compensation. We do not have any input into the companies that make up these surveys.

In addition, our compensation committee reviews compensation data obtained from publicly available sources for peer, or comparator, companies. For fiscal 2014, our compensation committee reviewed relevant compensation data from the following companies:

Ann Inc.
 bebe stores, inc.
 Carter's, Inc.
 Chico's FAS Inc.
 Coldwater Creek, Inc.
 Columbia Sportswear Company

Deckers Outdoor Corporation
 Delta Apparel, Inc.
 Fifth & Pacific Companies, Inc.
 G-III Apparel Group, Ltd.
 Guess?, Inc.
 lululemon athletica inc.

Pacific Sunwear of California, Inc.
 New York & Company, Inc.
 Perry Ellis International, Inc.
 Quiksilver, Inc.
 Steven Madden, Ltd.
 Urban Outfitters, Inc.

Elements of Executive Officer Compensation

Total compensation for our NEOs in recent years has consisted of the following components:

Compensation Component	Overview	Purpose
Base Salary	Base salary provides a fixed amount of cash compensation to our NEOs.	Base salary provides a competitive level of guaranteed cash compensation that allows us to attract and retain qualified executives and to compensate them for performing basic job responsibilities.
Short-Term/Annual Incentive Compensation and Long-Term Cash Incentive Compensation	Cash incentive awards, including under the EPIP, provide our NEOs with variable cash compensation opportunities based on company and/or operating group performance or achievement of other strategic goals.	Cash incentive awards are used, among other things, to attract and retain qualified executives; align the compensation paid to our executive officers with our company's performance; motivate our executive officers to work to achieve and exceed specific company performance goals or other strategic goals; and, where appropriate, facilitate the treatment of elements of compensation as performance-based compensation under the Internal Revenue Code.
Long-Term Equity Compensation (Both performance-vesting and time-vesting)	Long-term equity compensation awards provide our NEOs with equity compensation opportunities under our LTIP based on company performance and/or the satisfaction of multi-year service requirements.	Equity compensation further aligns the interests of our NEOs with those of our shareholders by encouraging retention, motivating our executive officers to work to achieve and exceed performance goals and rewarding increases in stock price.
Other Benefit Plan Participation Opportunities	Our NEOs are generally eligible to participate in various health, life insurance, retirement, stock purchase and disability benefit plans we have established for our U.S.-based employees and/or executives.	These benefit plans are designed to attract and retain key employees by providing benefits competitive with those generally available.
Perquisites	From time to time, our NEOs receive discounts on merchandise purchased directly from our distribution centers or through our direct to consumer channels, as well as complimentary meals at our Tommy Bahama restaurants or allowances for apparel merchandise, and other minimal perquisites.	These perquisites are designed to attract and retain key employees by providing perquisites that are common practice within our industry.

Target Compensation Levels / Mix. In recent years, our compensation committee has generally utilized the median of total cash compensation (base salary and cash incentive awards) for similar positions identified using industry and general market data, as well as that of similarly situated executives at the peer company group, as a guideline for evaluating and approving the target total cash compensation for our executive officers generally. In establishing specific base salary amounts and cash incentive award target amounts payable to any individual executive officer, our compensation committee takes into consideration a number of factors, such as the specific individual's duration with our company and in a specific role, prior performance and accomplishment of significant business strategies, the size of an operating group or business unit, the oversight and other responsibilities of the individual, the individual's prior employment experience and compensation history, other factors related to the scope or unique nature of the incumbent's job responsibilities, retention considerations, and geographic distinctions. The total target cash compensation approved by our compensation committee for our Chief Executive Officer for fiscal 2014 was below the median of industry and general market data studied by our compensation committee.

In approving the amount of long-term equity compensation granted to our executive officers, our compensation committee reviews market data to understand trends and general compensation practices (for example, typical vesting

periods and/or types and values of equity grants). In approving our fiscal 2014 equity compensation program, which is described under “*Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation*,” our compensation committee also took into consideration market survey data on equity compensation ranges and recommendations made by the compensation consultant engaged by our compensation committee.

Our compensation committee reviews all components of the compensation payable to our executive officers, including base salaries, cash incentive awards, and long-term equity compensation. In approving the total target compensation of our NEOs, our compensation committee does not expressly allocate a specified percentage of total compensation to base salary, short-term incentive compensation and/or long-term equity compensation.

Compensation Mix. Our compensation committee generally increases target incentive award levels for an executive officer as such officer's responsibilities within our organization increase, thereby more heavily weighting the variable elements of compensation for our most senior executive officers who are more likely to have a strong and direct impact in achieving strategic and financial goals that are most likely to affect shareholder value. Our compensation committee believes that the best interests of our shareholders are served by tying pay to performance and subjecting a meaningful proportion of our NEOs' total compensation to the achievement of company and/or operating group performance that represents meaningful value to our company. As illustrated below, a significant portion of our NEOs total target direct compensation for fiscal 2014 was performance based and/or is aligned with the interests of our shareholders.



Base Salary

Our compensation committee utilizes base salaries to provide a fixed amount of compensation to our NEOs for the performance of their duties. Base salaries of our NEOs are reviewed on an annual basis. Our compensation committee determines the salary of our Chief Executive Officer and reviews and approves (with or without modification) our Chief Executive Officer's recommended salaries for our other executive officers.

Base Salaries for Fiscal 2014

Chief Executive Officer's Review. In March 2014, our compensation committee evaluated Mr. Chubb's performance during fiscal 2013, which was his first full year as our Chief Executive Officer. As part of its review, our compensation committee considered the company's performance and achievements during fiscal 2013, including:

- consolidated net sales growth of 7%, operating income growth of 8% and earnings per share growth of 8%, reflecting strong comparable store sales results and strong performances by our Tommy Bahama and Lilly Pulitzer operating groups;
- total shareholder return of 54% during fiscal 2013;
- top-line growth of 11% and 13% for Tommy Bahama and Lilly Pulitzer, respectively;
- continued solid performance at Lanier Clothes, where sales and operating income exceeded budget; and
- various continued challenges by our Ben Sherman operating group, though the second half of the year had considerable year-over-year improvement on the bottom line.

[Table of Contents](#)*Base Salaries for our NEOs.*

Following a review of relevant market data with respect to each of our NEOs, individual performance and contributions to our company and the financial performance of our company and various business units, our compensation committee approved the following merit-based increases in base salary (effective April 2014):

Name	Base Salary		Percentage Change
	Fiscal 2014	Fiscal 2013	
Thomas C. Chubb III	\$ 775,000	\$ 725,000	6.9%
K. Scott Grassmyer	\$ 360,000	\$ 325,000	10.8%
Scott A. Beaumont	\$ 550,000	\$ 500,000	10.0%
Thomas E. Campbell	\$ 360,000	\$ 325,000	10.8%
Terry R. Pillow	\$ 850,000	\$ 825,000	3.0%

Short-Term Incentive Compensation

Our compensation committee utilizes cash incentive awards under the EPIP to provide our NEOs with variable cash compensation opportunities based on company and/or operating group performance.

For fiscal 2014, our compensation committee approved an annual cash incentive program for our NEOs. The program set target awards and performance goals based exclusively on the performance of our company or applicable operating group during the year. The fiscal 2014 program was generally similar in structure and operation to the program established in recent years except that, taking into consideration the recommendation of its compensation consultant and our company's compensation consultant, the compensation committee approved a broader range for threshold and maximum targets and payouts to better reward strong performance achievement of performance goals.

Consistent with the objective of motivating our NEOs to achieve and exceed performance goals, our compensation committee approved target and maximum award levels expressed as a percentage of each NEO's base salary for fiscal 2014, as follows:

Name	Cash Incentive Awards (% of Base Salary)		
	At Threshold	At Target	At Maximum
Thomas C. Chubb III	25%	100%	175%
K. Scott Grassmyer	12.5%	50%	87.5%
Scott A. Beaumont	12.5%	50%	87.5%
Thomas E. Campbell	12.5%	50%	87.5%
Terry R. Pillow	15%	60%	105%

For cash incentive awards that could become payable to Mr. Chubb, Mr. Grassmyer and/or Mr. Campbell, our compensation committee approved individual performance measures based on profit before taxes, as adjusted for specifically identified non-recurring or unusual items (PBT), of our company and/or each of our operating groups. The total cash incentive award for each of these individuals was comprised of distinct performance measure components tied to our company as a whole, as well as each of our operating groups individually. PBT is a performance measure which we believe drives shareholder value by focusing management on the profitability of our company and/or operating groups, taking into consideration the cost of the capital being deployed.

For cash incentive awards that could become payable to Mr. Beaumont, the incentive award was based entirely on our Lilly Pulitzer's satisfaction of applicable PBT targets. For cash incentive awards that could become payable to Mr. Pillow, the incentive award was based entirely on Tommy Bahama Group's satisfaction of applicable PBT targets. For each of these individuals, no cash incentive would be payable under the EPIP unless the applicable threshold performance measure for the applicable operating group was satisfied.

In establishing performance targets for cash incentive award opportunities for each of our NEOs for fiscal 2014, our compensation committee took into consideration our original budgeted plans for the fiscal year and anticipated changes in our business(es) from the prior year.

- For purposes of the cash incentive award for Mr. Chubb, Mr. Grassmyer and Mr. Campbell, the table below sets forth the threshold, target and maximum performance targets established by our compensation committee for each of our

[Table of Contents](#)

operating groups and our company as a whole; the actual performance of each of our operating groups and our company as a whole during fiscal 2014; the applicable weighting allocated to each of our operating groups and our company as a whole; and the weighted contribution to the actual incentive award earned by each of these executive officers.

- For purposes of the cash incentive awards to Mr. Beaumont and Mr. Pillow, the table below includes the threshold, target and maximum performance targets established by our compensation committee for our Lilly Pulitzer Group and Tommy Bahama Group, respectively, and the actual performance of each of those operating groups during fiscal 2014.

Performance Measure(s) (\$ in 000s)	Performance Target			Actual Performance	Actual Achievement as a Percent of Target	Weighting for Corporate Composite Bonus	Weighted Contribution to Actual Corporate Composite Bonus Earned
	Threshold	Target	Maximum				
PBT of total company	\$ 48,960	\$57,600	\$66,240	\$ 55,979	85.9%	50.0%	43.0%
PBT of Tommy Bahama Group	\$ 46,785	\$55,041	\$63,297	\$ 48,664	42.1%	30.0%	12.6%
PBT of Lilly Pulitzer Group	\$ 20,916	\$24,607	\$28,298	> Maximum	175.0%	10.0%	17.5%
PBT of Lanier Clothes	\$ 5,200	\$ 7,900	\$10,600	\$ 8,017	103.3%	6.0%	6.2%
PBT of Ben Sherman Group	\$(12,000)	\$(8,000)	\$(4,000)	< Threshold	0%	4.0%	0%
						100.0%	79.3%

The target PBT for each group and for total company were generally in line with our budget for fiscal 2014, except the component performance targets for Lanier Clothes and Ben Sherman were set higher than our budgeted plan, emphasizing for the heads of those operating groups the necessity to exceed our own plans in order to receive a target level incentive award. In addition, in furtherance of our objective to drive sustained, profitable growth within our organization, our total company, Tommy Bahama and Lilly Pulitzer target performance goals were set at levels requiring mid-single digit PBT percentage improvement from the actual fiscal 2013 results, and our Ben Sherman target goal required significant reductions in operating losses than were experienced during fiscal 2013.

Based on our fiscal 2014 performance, each of our NEOs earned the following cash incentives in respect of fiscal 2014:

Name	Bonus Award at Target (\$)	Bonus Award Earned (as % of Target)	Bonus Award Earned (\$)
Thomas C. Chubb III	\$ 775,000	79.3%	\$ 614,575
K. Scott Grassmyer	\$ 180,000	79.3%	\$ 142,740
Scott A. Beaumont	\$ 275,000	175.0%	\$ 481,250
Thomas E. Campbell	\$ 180,000	79.3%	\$ 142,740
Terry R. Pillow	\$ 510,000	42.1%	\$ 214,710

Although our compensation committee retains "downward discretion" to reduce (but not to increase) the total cash incentive awards payable to any of our NEOs, it did not exercise its discretion for fiscal 2014.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Our compensation committee utilizes stock-based incentive awards under the LTIP to incent our NEOs to remain with our company and further align the interests of our NEOs with those of our shareholders. In March 2014, our compensation committee approved the equity compensation program for fiscal 2014.

For fiscal 2014, the program included two equity elements:

- performance-based restricted stock awards under the LTIP that provided participants the opportunity to earn restricted shares contingent upon our achievement of certain earnings per share performance goals for our company during fiscal 2014. Any restricted shares earned by recipients would be further subject to a two year vesting period, with the shares subject to cliff vesting on April 14, 2017.
- service-based restricted shares under the LTIP that are subject to a three year vesting period, with the awards cliff vesting on April 14, 2017.

[Table of Contents](#)

The table below sets forth the awards approved by our compensation committee for each participating NEO for the fiscal 2014 LTIP program.

Name	Performance-Based Restricted Shares (# of shares)			Service-Based Restricted Shares (# of shares)
	At Threshold	At Target	At Maximum	
Thomas C. Chubb III	2,588	10,350	18,113	3,300
K. Scott Grassmyer	913	3,650	6,388	1,650
Thomas E. Campbell	913	3,650	6,388	1,650
Terry R. Pillow	1,813	7,250	12,688	3,300

The 2014 earnings per share performance goals established by the compensation committee for the performance-based restricted shares were as follows: threshold—\$2.64; target—\$3.10; and maximum—\$3.56. Our actual fiscal 2014 earnings per share, as defined under the program, was \$3.12. The earnings per share for our performance-based restricted stock awards differs from the adjusted earnings per share that we report in our earnings releases based on the earnings per share definition established by the compensation committee in connection with approving the performance goals and awards under the fiscal 2014 LTIP program.

As a result of our performance, 103.3% of the target performance-based restricted shares for fiscal 2014 were earned. From the actual grant of earned restricted shares in March 2015 through the applicable vesting date, our participating NEOs receive dividends on these restricted shares and are entitled to voting rights. The fiscal 2014 equity awards would generally be forfeited if the recipient is not continuously employed by us through April 14, 2017. Accelerated vesting of the award is limited to a "double trigger" scenario (i.e., a change of control of our company and a termination of employment by the individual with good reason or by us or our acquirer without cause). In addition, amounts received or that may be received under the fiscal 2014 equity compensation program that are performance-based are subject to a clawback provision in the event of certain material restatements of our financial statements.

Other Special Incentives

In order to further encourage the retention of Mr. Beaumont, CEO of our Lilly Pulitzer Group, who served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sugartown Worldwide, Inc. since co-founding the company in 1993 through our acquisition of Sugartown in December 2010, our compensation committee approved two special cash long-term incentive opportunities for him during fiscal 2014.

Specifically, the compensation committee approved a cash long-term incentive compensation award based on Lilly Pulitzer's cumulative PBT performance over a seven-quarter performance period from the second quarter of fiscal 2014 through the fourth quarter of fiscal 2015. At the end of the performance period, Mr. Beaumont would be entitled to a one-time payout of \$1 million at target PBT performance over the performance period (with a prorated award between threshold and target performance and an increased award for exceeding target performance), with a target PBT goal which we consider to be robust. In addition, our compensation committee approved a special succession preparation award that would be payable in April 2016 upon the implementation of a succession planning and transition program for Lilly Pulitzer pursuant to certain parameters established by the compensation committee. If the compensation committee, taking into consideration the feedback and evaluation of our Chief Executive Officer, determines that Mr. Beaumont has successfully implemented the program and satisfied the requisite parameters under the program, Mr. Beaumont would be entitled to a one-time payout of \$750,000.

In order to receive any of the payouts described above, Mr. Beaumont must remain actively employed by us through April 1, 2016. Our compensation committee believed these award opportunities were appropriate as an effective retention incentive that took into consideration the conclusion of Mr. Beaumont's employment and earnout agreements during fiscal 2014 (both of which were entered into in connection with our 2010 acquisition of Sugartown) and in order to ensure a smooth transition with any future management succession.

In light of these opportunities, Mr. Beaumont did not participate in our long-term equity compensation program in respect of fiscal 2014.

Other Benefit Plans and Perquisites

Retirement Savings Plan. During fiscal 2014, we provided retirement benefits to our eligible employees, including the NEOs, under the terms of our tax-qualified retirement savings plan (which we also refer to as our "401(k) plan"). Our 401(k)

[Table of Contents](#)

plan is intended to promote retirement savings by providing employees with an opportunity to save in a tax-efficient manner. During calendar year 2014, we made matching contributions to participants who had achieved a minimum of one year of service under the plan of (1) 100% of the first 3% of the participant's compensation that is deferred and (2) 50% of the next 2% of the participant's compensation that was deferred.

Our company contributions under the 401(k) plan are subject to limitations prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code. Our company contributions to the 401(k) plan vest immediately. Our NEOs are permitted to make contributions to the plan solely from pre-tax compensation. Our NEOs participate in our 401(k) plan on the same terms as other "highly compensated employees" (determined under applicable Internal Revenue Service guidelines) of our company. During fiscal 2014, Messrs. Chubb, Grassmyer, Beaumont and Campbell participated in our 401(k) plan. Company contributions for each NEO during fiscal 2014 under our 401(k) plan are included in the table below under "*—Compensation Tables—Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014.*"

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan. We offer a Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, which we refer to as the "Deferred Compensation Plan," to certain highly compensated employees based in the United States, including the NEOs (other than Mr. Beaumont, who is an employee of our Lilly Pulitzer Group, which does not currently participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan). Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, a participant may defer up to 50% of base salary and up to 100% of an annual performance-based cash incentive award. The eligible NEOs participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan on the same terms as our other eligible, participating employees. During fiscal 2014, Messrs. Chubb, Grassmyer, Campbell and Pillow participated in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

All deferral elections are irrevocable except in the case of a hardship. In respect of calendar year 2014, we made a contribution to each participant's account of (1) 4% of the amount that a participant's compensation during the calendar year exceeded the 401(k) compensation limit for the calendar year (which for calendar year 2014 was \$260,000), and (2) 4% of any compensation that is excluded from receiving a company match in the 401(k) plan due to participation in the Deferred Compensation Plan, provided in each case that the participant elects under the Deferred Compensation Plan to defer at least 1% of his or her base salary following enrollment in the Deferred Compensation Plan. Company contributions for each NEO during fiscal 2014 under our Deferred Compensation Plan are included in the table below under "*—Compensation Tables—Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014.*"

The Deferred Compensation Plan is intended to offer our highly compensated employees, including our eligible NEOs, a tax-efficient method for accumulating retirement savings, as well as to provide an opportunity for our executives to accumulate savings in a tax-efficient manner for significant expenses while continuing in service. The Deferred Compensation Plan constitutes an unfunded, non-qualified deferred compensation plan, and participants' account balances are subject to the claims of our company's creditors. In the event that our company becomes insolvent, participants in the Deferred Compensation Plan would be unsecured general creditors with respect to their account balances, which we believe further aligns the interests of our participating NEOs with the long-term interests of our shareholders.

Because none of our NEOs received above-market, fixed rates of return under the Deferred Compensation Plan, earnings under the plan are not included in the table below under "*—Compensation Tables—Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014.*" Earnings and related activity under the Deferred Compensation Plan by our NEOs during fiscal 2014 are described below under "*—Compensation Tables—Fiscal 2014 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation.*"

Executive Medical Insurance Plan. Certain key employees, including Messrs. Chubb, Grassmyer and Campbell, are eligible to receive reimbursement of qualified medical expenses in an amount up to \$100,000 per year with a limit of \$10,000 per occurrence. Our executive medical insurance plan reimburses eligible executives for reasonable, medically necessary expenses that are not covered under a base medical plan. Our executive medical insurance also provides for a \$100,000 accidental death and dismemberment benefit that will pay an eligible executive officer's beneficiary the lump sum amount in the event of death as a result of a covered accident. In addition, pursuant to the terms of Mr. Beaumont's employment agreement when we acquired the Lilly Pulitzer brand and operations in 2010, we agreed to pay the health, dental, life and long-term disability insurance premiums for Mr. Beaumont and his dependents on his behalf.

Company contributions for each NEO during fiscal 2014 under our executive medical insurance plan and the payment of premiums for Mr. Beaumont and his dependents are included in the table below under "*—Compensation Tables—Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014.*"

Other Benefits. In addition to some of the other compensation policies discussed above, our NEOs are generally eligible to participate in and receive the same health, life insurance and disability benefits, and to participate in certain other benefit

[Table of Contents](#)

plans such as our employee stock purchase plan, available to our employees generally, subject to distinctions in our plans that are applicable to employees of our subsidiaries and/or are based on residence requirements.

Merchandise Discounts. From time to time, our NEOs receive discounts on merchandise purchased directly from our distribution centers or through our direct to consumer channels, as well as complimentary meals at our Tommy Bahama restaurants. Certain of these discounts and benefits are offered to other designated employees from time to time. We offer these discounts and benefits because they represent common practice in our industry.

Written Arrangements

Subject to the effect of local labor laws, all of our employees are terminable at our discretion. From time to time, we have entered into written employment arrangements with certain of our employees, including certain of our executive officers. In addition, we have from time to time implemented discretionary separation programs that have provided for separation payments to departing employees. We do not currently have a written employment agreement with any of our NEOs. However, certain of the terms of Mr. Beaumont's employment with our Lilly Pulitzer Group, such as our payment of the health, dental, life and long-term disability insurance premiums for Mr. Beaumont and his dependents, continues consistent with the terms of an employment agreement we entered into with Mr. Beaumont in connection with our acquisition of the Lilly Pulitzer brand and operations in December 2010. The term of that employment agreement expired on January 31, 2015.

Clawback Policy

In March 2015 we adopted a recoupment, or "clawback," policy in order to further align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our shareholders and strengthen the link between total compensation and our performance. Under this policy, we may seek to recover incentive-based compensation from any current or former executive officer who received incentive-based compensation during the three-year period preceding the date on which we announce that we are required to restate any previously issued financial statements due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under federal securities laws.

Under the policy, the amount to be recovered will be determined by the compensation committee taking into account such considerations as it deems appropriate, including the overpayment relative to the incentive based-compensation that would have been paid to the employee if the financial statements had been as presented in the restatement. Incentive-based compensation is defined broadly to include bonuses, awards or grants of cash or equity under any of our short or long-term incentive compensation or bonus plans, including but not limited to the LTIP and the EPIP, in each instance where the bonuses, awards or grants are based in whole or in part on the achievement of financial results. The policy gives the compensation committee discretion to interpret and apply the policy.

Stock Ownership and Retention Guidelines; Anti-Pledging/Hedging Policy

Our Board has established stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers, including the NEOs. The ownership guidelines specify a target number of shares of our common stock that our executive officers are expected to accumulate and hold within five years of appointment to the applicable position. Pursuant to these guidelines, each of our executive officers is expected to own or acquire shares of our common stock having a fair market value of a multiple of his or her base salary as follows: Chief Executive Officer—4.0x; President—2.5x; Executive Vice Presidents—2.0x; and All Other Executive Officers (which includes the heads of each of our operating groups)—1.5x.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines also provide for a retention guideline, or holding period, of one year for stock acquired upon the exercise of options or lapse of restrictions on restricted stock (net of funds reasonably expected to be necessary to satisfy applicable taxes and/or pay the exercise price of stock options) that applies to our executive officers, including our NEOs.

Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines and our insider trading policy, our directors and executive officers, including our NEOs, are prohibited from hedging the economic risk of ownership of our company's stock, including through the use of puts, calls, equity swaps or other derivative securities or from entering into any pledge arrangements after March 2013 that use our company's stock as collateral for a loan or other purposes.

Tax Deductibility Considerations

It is the responsibility of our compensation committee to address the issues raised by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) generally prohibits us from deducting the compensation of certain NEOs that exceeds \$1,000,000 during any year. The limitation does not apply to compensation based on achievement of pre-established performance goals if certain requirements are met. Our EPIP is structured to permit awards to qualify as performance-based compensation to maximize the tax deductibility of such awards. Our compensation committee, as it deems appropriate, uses and intends to use performance-based compensation to limit the amount of compensation paid by us that would not be eligible for deductibility. However, our compensation committee believes that we must be able to attract, retain and reward the executive leadership necessary to develop and execute our strategic plans and that the loss of a tax deduction may be necessary and appropriate in some circumstances. Accordingly, our compensation committee may exercise its discretion to award compensation in excess of the Section 162(m) limits as it deems necessary or appropriate.

Compensation Tables

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014

The table below shows the compensation for each of our NEOs for the applicable fiscal years:

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	Total (\$)
Thomas C. Chubb III Chief Executive Officer	2014	767,308	1,067,430	614,575	52,232	2,501,544
and President	2013	725,000	544,800	167,475	69,107	1,506,382
	2012	614,423	359,979	397,440	90,595	1,462,437
K. Scott Grassmyer Executive Vice President-Finance,	2014	354,615	414,460	142,740	29,122	940,937
Chief Financial Officer and Controller	2013	320,000	272,400	37,538	42,200	672,138
	2012	296,394	146,250	161,460	42,824	646,928
Scott A. Beaumont CEO, Lilly Pulitzer Group	2014	540,192	—	481,250	20,879	1,042,321
Group	2013	490,385	—	53,500	18,275	562,160
	2012	450,000	225,022	—	19,019	694,041
Thomas E. Campbell Executive Vice President-Law and Administration,	2014	354,615	414,460	142,740	23,299	935,115
General Counsel and Secretary	2013	320,000	272,400	37,538	28,226	658,164
	2012	296,394	146,250	161,460	31,256	635,360
Terry R. Pillow CEO, Tommy Bahama Group	2014	845,190	825,010	214,710	37,205	1,922,115
Group	2013	800,199	544,800	54,450	71,261	1,470,710
	2012	790,387	479,987	723,202	97,484	2,091,060

(1) The values for stock awards in this column represent the grant date fair value of performance-based equity incentive compensation awards approved in fiscal 2014, fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718; however, pursuant to SEC regulations, no reduction has been applied for estimated forfeitures. Awards with performance conditions are computed based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date for the award. Information about the assumptions used to value these awards can be found under the captions "Equity Compensation" and "Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan" in Notes 1 and 7, respectively, in our Fiscal 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. For fiscal 2013, the performance conditions under these stock awards were not met and ultimately no amounts were received by our NEOs.

Assuming the maximum level of performance conditions was met for the performance-based restricted share awards during fiscal 2014, the grant date fair value of these awards for our NEOs would be as follows: Mr. Chubb—\$1,416,437; Mr. Grassmyer—\$499,542; Mr. Campbell—\$499,542; and Mr. Pillow—\$992,202.

(2) Amounts reported under "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" reflect cash incentive awards earned by each of our NEOs in respect of company and/or operating group performance during the applicable fiscal year under our EPIP, as described above under "— Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Short-Term Incentive Compensation."

(3) Amounts reported under "All Other Compensation" for fiscal 2014 reflect the following amounts:

Name	Company Paid Life Insurance (\$)	Executive Health Insurance (\$)	Company Contributions to Defined Contribution Plans (\$)	Company Contributions to Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (\$)	Dividends on Unvested Stock Awards (\$)
Thomas C. Chubb III	1,770	4,798	10,626	26,761	8,277
K. Scott Grassmyer	—	9,927	10,447	5,125	3,623
Scott A. Beaumont	—	7,098	10,340	—	3,441
Thomas E. Campbell	—	4,430	10,121	5,125	3,623
Terry R. Pillow	1,584	—	—	25,509	10,112

In addition, our NEOs, from time to time, receive discounts on merchandise purchased directly from our distribution centers or through our direct to consumer channels and may, from time to time, receive complimentary meals at our Tommy Bahama restaurants or allowances for apparel merchandise. We do not believe that the aggregate incremental cost to us of these discounts and benefits exceeds \$10,000 for any of our NEOs and are excluded from this table.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2014

The following table presents information for fiscal 2014 regarding equity awards granted under our LTIP and possible cash awards that could have been earned for fiscal 2014 performance under our EPIP or other special cash long-term incentive opportunities.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All other stock awards: Number of shares of stock (#) ⁽³⁾	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		
Thomas C. Chubb III	3/31/14	193,750	775,000	1,356,250	2,588	10,350	18,113	3,300	809,370
	3/31/14								
K. Scott Grassmyer	3/31/14	45,000	180,000	315,000	913	3,650	6,388	1,650	285,430
	3/31/14								
Scott A. Beaumont	3/31/14	68,750	275,000	481,250					
	3/31/14	250,000	1,000,000	3,000,000					
Thomas E. Campbell	3/31/14	—	750,000	—	913	3,650	6,388	1,650	285,430
	3/31/14	45,000	180,000	315,000					
Terry R. Pillow	3/31/14	127,500	510,000	892,500	1,813	7,250	12,688	3,300	566,950
	3/31/14								

- (1) Reflects potential cash incentive awards in respect of company and/or operating group performance during fiscal 2014 under the EPIP, which is described above under "—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Short-Term Incentive Compensation." In addition, for Mr. Beaumont, reflects two special cash long-term incentive awards described above under "—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Other Special Incentives."
- (2) Reflects potential restricted stock awards in respect of our performance during fiscal 2014 under the LTIP, which is described above under "—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation."
- (3) Reflects service-based restricted shares granted under the LTIP which cliff vest on April 14, 2017 and are described above under "—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation."
- (4) The values for stock awards in this column are computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For awards with performance conditions, the grant date fair value assumes achievement at target performance. Pursuant to SEC regulations, no reduction has been applied for estimated forfeitures.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2014 Year-End

The following table provides information with respect to unvested equity awards held by our NEOs as of January 31, 2015. Our NEOs did not hold any unexercised stock options at the end of fiscal 2014.

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽¹⁾	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽²⁾
Thomas C. Chubb III	20,545	1,149,287
K. Scott Grassmyer	8,084	452,219
Scott A. Beaumont	4,097	229,186
Thomas E. Campbell	8,084	452,219
Terry R. Pillow	19,528	1,092,396

- (1) The unvested equity awards held by our NEOs at the end of fiscal 2014 consist of performance-based restricted share units awarded in respect of fiscal 2012 performance, service-based restricted shares granted in March 2014 and performance-based restricted shares awarded following the conclusion of fiscal 2014 in respect of actual fiscal 2014 performance (which are subject to additional service requirements prior to vesting) as follows:

Name	Fiscal 2012 RSUs	Vesting Date for Fiscal 2012 RSUs	Fiscal 2014 Service-Based Restricted Shares	Fiscal 2014 Performance-Based Restricted Shares	Vesting Date for Fiscal 2014 Service-Based and Performance-Based Restricted Shares
Thomas C. Chubb III	6,553	March 31, 2016	3,300	10,692	April 14, 2017
K. Scott Grassmyer	2,663	March 31, 2016	1,650	3,771	April 14, 2017
Scott A. Beaumont	4,097	March 31, 2016	—	—	—
Thomas E. Campbell	2,663	March 31, 2016	1,650	3,771	April 14, 2017
Terry R. Pillow	8,738	March 31, 2016	3,300	7,490	April 14, 2017

- (2) The market value of stock awards reported is computed by multiplying the number of shares of stock that have not vested by \$55.94, the per-share closing price of our common stock on January 31, 2015.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal 2014

There were no stock options exercised or restricted shares that vested for any of our NEOs during fiscal 2014.

Fiscal 2014 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

The following table shows the activity under our Deferred Compensation Plan for each of our NEOs during fiscal 2014.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$) ⁽²⁾	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$) ⁽³⁾	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$) ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾
Thomas C. Chubb III	15,472	26,761	9,014	9,364	218,206
K. Scott Grassmyer	7,329	5,125	17,284	—	240,686
Scott A. Beaumont	—	—	—	—	—
Thomas E. Campbell	36,178	5,125	63,817	11,870	640,250
Terry R. Pillow	8,473	25,509	(1,131)	—	311,064

- (1) The amounts reported in this column are also included in the "Salary" column or the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column for fiscal 2014 in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) The amounts reported in this column are also included in the "All Other Compensation" column for fiscal 2014 in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (3) Represent in-service distributions received in accordance with the terms of our Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (4) Reflects balances as of January 31, 2015.

- (5) The amounts reported in this column include amounts that are also reported as salary, non-equity incentive plan awards or all other compensation in the Summary Compensation Table above in fiscal 2014 and in prior years as follows:

Name	Amount Included in Both Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table and Summary Compensation Table (\$)	Amount Included in Both Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table and Previously Reported in Prior Years' Summary Compensation Table (\$)	Total Amounts Included in Both Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table and Current Year or Prior Years' Summary Compensation Table (\$)
Thomas C. Chubb III	42,232	266,017	308,249
K. Scott Grassmyer	12,453	99,383	111,836
Scott A. Beaumont	—	—	—
Thomas E. Campbell	41,302	250,664	291,966
Terry R. Pillow	33,981	280,700	314,681

Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, participants may elect to have contributions during a given calendar year distributed as either:

- in-service distributions starting at least two years following the year of the applicable contributions in a single sum or in annual installment payments over a period of up to five years; or
- following a deemed retirement (which occurs when a participant reaches age 55 with at least five years of service) generally in a single sum or in annual installment payments over a period of up to 15 years.

Distribution of account balances in a single sum is automatically made on termination for reasons other than a deemed retirement. Participants elect to invest their account balances among a variety of investment options in an array of asset classes, and earnings are based on the equivalent returns from the elected investment options. Accounts are 100% vested at all times.

Potential Payments on Termination or Change of Control

LTIP Awards

The fiscal 2014 equity awards, described under "*—Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation*" above (as well as our fiscal 2012 equity awards outstanding as of January 31, 2015), provide for "double trigger" vesting, meaning that the awards require a change of control of our company and a termination of the individual's employment either by the individual for good reason or us or our acquirer without cause (which we refer to as a "change of control termination") to accelerate vesting.

The following table summarizes the value of the shares of our common stock that would be realized by each NEO if a change of control termination had occurred on January 31, 2015 (which for this purpose assumes that the change of control of our company occurred prior to the end of fiscal 2014):

Name	Fiscal 2012 RSUs That Would Vest upon a Change of Control Termination (#)	Fiscal 2014 Performance-Based Equity Awards That Would Vest upon a Change of Control Termination (#) ⁽¹⁾	Fiscal 2014 Service-Based Restricted Shares That Would Vest upon a Change of Control Termination (#)	Value Realized on Vesting Following a Change of Control Termination (\$) ⁽²⁾
Thomas C. Chubb III	6,553	10,692	3,300	1,149,287
K. Scott Grassmyer	2,663	3,771	1,650	452,219
Scott A. Beaumont	4,097	—	—	229,186
Thomas E. Campbell	2,663	3,771	1,650	452,219
Terry R. Pillow	8,738	7,490	3,300	1,092,396

(1) Pursuant to the terms of the fiscal 2014 program, if a change of control termination takes place where the change of control occurs prior to the end of fiscal 2014, the individual recipients would be entitled to receive the greater of (a) the number of shares of our common stock attributable to the recipient's target number of restricted shares pursuant to the program or (b) the actual number of restricted shares certified by our compensation committee as having been earned. Accordingly, the table assumes the actual number of restricted shares certified by our compensation committee as having been earned would vest.

(2) The value is computed by multiplying the number of shares that would vest by \$55.94, the per-share closing market price of our common stock on January 31, 2015.

Other Potential Post-Employment Payments

Executive Medical Insurance Plan; Other Benefit and Welfare Plans. Upon termination of employment, our NEOs are ineligible to continue participation under the Executive Medical Plan and our other benefit and welfare plans (subject to rights to participate in continuation coverage).

General. We did not have any other arrangement, policy or plan that would provide payments or benefits to any of our NEOs as a result of a termination of any kind, including following a change of control, other than benefits payable to salaried employees of our company on a non-discriminatory basis.

NOMINATING, COMPENSATION & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Nominating, Compensation & Governance Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on such review and discussions, the Nominating, Compensation & Governance Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference into the Company's Fiscal 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Respectfully submitted,

Clarence H. Smith, Chairman
Dennis M. Love
Helen Ballard

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Dennis M. Love, Clarence H. Smith, Helen Ballard and E. Jenner Wood III served on our NC&G Committee during fiscal 2014. None of them are current officers or employees of our company or any subsidiary, none of them are former officers of our company or any subsidiary and, except for Mr. Wood, who is employed by SunTrust with whom we continued our long-standing banking relationship during fiscal 2014, as further described below under "*Certain Relationships and Related Transactions*," none of them has any other relationship requiring disclosure by us under any paragraph of Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Our Board or Executive Committee reviews all transactions that are disclosable under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K. To help identify these related party transactions, each director and executive officer annually completes a questionnaire that requires the disclosure of any transaction or relationship that the individual, or any member of his or her immediate family, has or will have with our company. Our Legal Department, with the assistance of other members of senior management, also reviews contemplated transactions to consider whether one of our directors or executive officers, or a company with which one of our directors or executive officers is affiliated, proposes to engage in a transaction that our Board should review.

Our Board or Executive Committee will only approve related party transactions that are in, or not inconsistent with, the best interests of our company and our shareholders. In determining whether to approve or reject a related party transaction, our Board considers such information as it deems important to determine whether the transaction is on reasonable and competitive terms and is fair to our company. Consistent with our process for reviewing related party transactions, our Board or Executive Committee reviewed and approved each of the agreements described below.

SunTrust; Mr. Wood

Mr. E. Jenner Wood III, one of our directors, is Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Atlanta division of SunTrust Bank. An affiliate of SunTrust has acted as lead arranger and bookrunner in connection with our syndicated, revolving credit facility, and certain other subsidiaries of SunTrust act as agent and lender and provide other services under this facility. The loan was made in the ordinary course of business, was made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to the lender and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. Our aggregate payments to SunTrust were approximately \$700,000 during fiscal 2014, and these payments represented an immaterial percentage of the Company's and SunTrust's revenues in 2014. In addition, we have engaged an affiliate of SunTrust to provide us certain financial advisory services, the payments of which are undeterminable at this time but are not in any event expected to

represent more than an immaterial percentage of the Company's or SunTrust's revenues. Mr. Wood does not personally participate in or benefit from any aspect of our relationship with SunTrust.

Earnout Agreement; Mr. Beaumont

In connection with our acquisition of Sugartown Worldwide, Inc. in 2010, we entered into an earnout agreement pursuant to which the beneficial owners of the capital stock of Sugartown prior to the acquisition would be entitled to earn up to \$20 million in cash, in the aggregate, over the four years following the closing of the acquisition based on our Lilly Pulitzer Group's achievement of certain performance targets. Mr. Scott A. Beaumont, one of our executive officers who was appointed CEO, Lilly Pulitzer Group, in connection with our acquisition of Sugartown, together with various trusts for the benefit of certain of his family members, held a 50% ownership interest in the capital stock of Sugartown prior to the acquisition. The agreement concluded in accordance with its terms at the end of fiscal 2014, and the counterparties to the earnout agreement earned the maximum earnout payments pursuant to the agreement, which included: payment of \$2.5 million during fiscal 2014 in respect of our Lilly Pulitzer Group's performance during fiscal 2013; payment of \$2.5 million following the conclusion of fiscal 2014 in respect of our Lilly Pulitzer Group's performance during fiscal 2014; and payment of \$10.0 million following the conclusion of fiscal 2014 in respect of our Lilly Pulitzer Group's cumulative performance over the full four year performance period.

AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, which operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors of Oxford Industries, Inc., is composed of independent directors and oversees, on behalf of the Board of Directors, the Company's financial reporting process and system of internal control over financial reporting.

In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has:

- reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements included in the Company's Fiscal 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed under Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, *Communications with Audit Committees*;
- received from Ernst & Young LLP the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Ernst & Young LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and considered whether the independent auditors' provision of other non-audit services to the Company is compatible with the auditors' independence and discussed with Ernst & Young LLP its independence; and
- based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Fiscal 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Respectfully Submitted,

George C. Guynn, Chairman

Thomas C. Gallagher

John R. Holder

Clyde C. Tuggle

Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The following table summarizes certain fees that we paid in respect of each of fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 to Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, for professional services:

<u>Fee Category</u>	<u>Fiscal 2014 (\$)</u>	<u>Fiscal 2013 (\$)</u>
Audit fees	1,321,958	1,317,527
Audit-related fees	10,135	10,635
Tax fees	85,525	437,518
All other fees	—	—
Total fees	1,417,618	1,765,680

Audit Fees. "Audit fees" are fees for the audit of our consolidated financial statements, reviews of our quarterly consolidated financial statements included in Forms 10-Q filed with the SEC, statutory audits of subsidiaries and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.

Audit-Related Fees. "Audit-related fees" are fees for audit-related services such as services related to assistance with implementation of recently adopted rules and regulations, compliance with rules and regulations applicable to accounting matters and audits performed pursuant to certain lease agreements.

Tax Fees. "Tax fees" are fees for tax compliance, planning and advisory services, including fees associated with tax planning and related advisory services associated with business acquisitions.

The Audit Committee considered the effects that the provision of the services described above under the subheadings "*Audit-related fees*" and "*Tax fees*" may have on the auditors' independence and has determined that such independence has been maintained.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

Our Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. Unless a service to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm has received general pre-approval under the policy, it requires specific pre-approval by our Audit Committee or the chair of our Audit Committee before the commencement of the service. The pre-approval policy is detailed as to the particular services to be provided, and our Audit Committee is to be informed about each service provided.

Specific pre-approval is required for significant recurring annual engagements, such as engagements for the required annual audit and quarterly reviews (including the audit of internal control over financial reporting) and statutory or employee benefit plan audits. Any individual engagement with an estimated cost of more than \$75,000 must be specifically pre-approved before the commencement of the engagement, even if the service in question has received general pre-approval. In addition, further Audit Committee pre-approval is required if the aggregate fees for such engagements would exceed \$200,000. As appropriate, at each Audit Committee meeting, the entire Audit Committee reviews services performed since the prior meeting pursuant to the general pre-approvals granted under the policy, as well as services, if any, pre-approved by the chair of our Audit Committee.

The nature and dollar value of services performed under the general pre-approval guidelines are reviewed with our Audit Committee on at least an annual basis. All of the fees detailed above paid to Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 were pre-approved (either specifically or pursuant to the general pre-approvals granted under the policy) by our Audit Committee.

**COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP BY MANAGEMENT
AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS**

The table below sets forth certain information, as of April 17, 2015 (except as noted), regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock by owners of 5% or more of our common stock; our directors; our NEOs; and our directors and executive officers as a group. Except as set forth below, the shareholders named below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of our common stock shown as being beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each shareholder on this table is c/o Oxford Industries, Inc., 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 688, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

Name	Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock	
	Number of Shares ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class ⁽¹⁾
Kornitzer Capital Management, Inc.	1,302,995 ^(a)	7.86
BlackRock, Inc.	1,242,681 ^(b)	7.50
Daruma Capital Management, LLC	1,054,220 ^(c)	6.36
The Vanguard Group	979,444 ^(d)	5.91
Scott A. Beaumont	1,715	*
Thomas E. Campbell	30,966	*
Thomas C. Chubb III	79,765	*
Thomas C. Gallagher	8,740	*
K. Scott Grassmyer	27,797	*
George C. Guynn	9,908	*
John R. Holder	15,009	*
J. Hicks Lanier	1,440,983 ^(e)	8.69
J. Reese Lanier	217,051 ^(f)	1.31
Dennis M. Love	13,841	*
Terry R. Pillow	40,790	*
Clarence H. Smith	11,287	*
Clyde C. Tuggle	3,364	*
Helen Ballard	6,955	*
E. Jenner Wood III	11,887	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (17 persons)	1,927,996	11.63

* Less than 1%

(1) Calculations based on an aggregate of 16,575,215 shares of our common stock outstanding as of the close of business on April 17, 2015. The number of shares and percentage of the class beneficially owned excludes unvested restricted share units awarded to our executive officers for which the individual does not have any voting rights but does include unvested restricted shares for which the individual has ownership rights as of the close of business on April 17, 2015. The unvested restricted share units and restricted shares held by our NEOs are disclosed above under "Executive Compensation—Compensation Tables—Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2014 Year-End."

(a) The shares reported are held by Kornitzer Capital Management, Inc. ("KCM") in its capacity as an investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act. As reported by KCM, KCM is an investment adviser with respect to the reported shares for the accounts of other persons who have the right to receive, and the power to direct the receipt of, dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the reported shares. KCM reported sole dispositive power over 1,240,827 of the reported shares, shared dispositive power over 62,168 of the reported shares, and sole voting power over all of the reported shares. The address for KCM is 5420 West 61st Place, Shawnee Mission, KS 66205. This information was as of December 31, 2014 and was obtained from a Schedule 13G/A filed on January 22, 2015.

(b) The shares reported are held by BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock") in its capacity as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act. As reported by BlackRock, various persons have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the reported shares. BlackRock reported sole voting power over 1,208,896 of the reported shares and sole dispositive power over all of the reported shares. The address for BlackRock is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022. This information was as of December 31, 2014 and was obtained from a Schedule 13G/A filed on January 26, 2015.

- (c) The shares reported are held by Daruma Capital Management, LLC ("Daruma") in its capacity as an investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act and by Mariko O. Gordon in her capacity as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act. Each of Daruma and Ms. Gordon reported shared voting power over 491,976 of the reported shares and shared dispositive power over all of the reported shares. The reported shares are held in the accounts of private investment vehicles and managed accounts advised by Daruma. The address for Daruma and Ms. Gordon is 80 West 40th Street, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10018. This information was as of December 31, 2014 and was obtained from a Schedule 13G filed on February 17, 2015.
- (d) The shares reported are held by The Vanguard Group ("Vanguard") in its capacity as an investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act. Vanguard reported sole voting power over 17,489 of the reported shares, sole dispositive power over 962,855 of the reported shares and shared dispositive power over 16,589 of the reported shares. The address for Vanguard is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. This information was as of December 31, 2014 and was obtained from a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2015.
- (e) Consists of 323,168 shares held individually by Mr. J. Hicks Lanier, 581,600 shares held in various trusts, 469,268 shares held by a charitable foundation of which Mr. Lanier is a trustee and 66,947 shares held by Mr. Lanier's wife. Of the total number of shares, 322,419 shares held by Mr. Lanier are pledged as security pursuant to a pre-existing arrangement, which represents a decrease in the number of shares pledged by Mr. Lanier at the time of our 2014 proxy statement. Mr. Lanier disclaims beneficial ownership of the 581,600 reported shares held in trusts and the 469,268 reported shares held by the charitable foundation of which Mr. Lanier is a trustee.
- (f) Consists of 128,652 shares held individually by Mr. J. Reese Lanier, 51,899 shares held by a charitable foundation of which Mr. Lanier is a trustee, 36,000 shares held in a charitable remainder trust of which Mr. Lanier acts as trustee, and 500 shares held by Mr. Lanier's wife. Mr. Lanier disclaims beneficial ownership of the reported shares held by the charitable foundation and by his wife, and except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein, disclaims beneficial ownership of the reported shares held by the charitable remainder trust.

Under the SEC's rules, a person may be deemed to beneficially own securities in which he or she has no pecuniary interest. The information set forth above shall not be construed as an admission that any such person is, for purposes of Section 13(d) or 13(g) of the Exchange Act or otherwise, the beneficial owner of any securities disclosed above.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires that our officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock, file with the SEC certain reports, and to furnish copies thereof to us, with respect to each such person's beneficial ownership and changes in ownership of our equity securities. Due to the complexity of the SEC's reporting rules, our Legal Department undertakes to file such reports on behalf of our directors and executive officers and has instituted procedures to assist them with these obligations. Based on a review of the company's records and other information, we believe that all required reports by our directors and executive officers were filed on a timely basis in fiscal 2014. Subsequent to the end of fiscal 2014, due to an administrative oversight, each of Messrs. Campbell, Chubb, Grassmyer, Howard, Maidment and Pillow were four days late filing a Form 4 relating to the grant of performance-based restricted shares following our NC&G Committee's certification of fiscal 2014 results.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table sets forth information concerning our equity compensation plans as of January 31, 2015:

<u>Plan Category</u>	<u>(a)</u> Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	<u>(b)</u> Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders		
Employee Stock Purchase Plan ⁽¹⁾	—	483,931
Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan	58,996(2)	1,213,558
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		
Total	58,996(2)	1,697,489

- (1) The number of securities to be issued under our ESPP is not determinable as of any date other than the last day of the applicable quarterly purchase period. Since the weighted average purchase price under our ESPP is not determinable as of any date other than the last day of the applicable quarterly purchase period, and, as of January 31, 2015, we had no other outstanding options, warrants or other rights with respect to shares of our common stock that require payment to us from the holder in exchange for the issuance of shares of our common stock, information relating to the exercise price of such outstanding options, warrants and rights are excluded from this table.
- (2) Reflects the number of shares of our common stock that, as of January 31, 2015, were to be granted pursuant to restricted share units granted under our LTIP.

PROPOSALS FOR SHAREHOLDER CONSIDERATION

Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors

Board of Directors

In accordance with our articles of incorporation, our directors are divided into three classes that are as nearly equal in size as possible. Directors in each class are elected to three-year terms, with director classes serving staggered terms. A director holds office until the annual meeting of shareholders held in the year during which the director's term ends and until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

Bylaws Relating to Retirement

Pursuant to our bylaws, an individual becomes ineligible for election or appointment as a director: (1) for any employee director (i.e., someone who concurrently serves as an employee of our company and as a member of our Board), other than an individual who has at any time served as our Chief Executive Officer, following the end of our fiscal year during which such individual reaches the age of 65; and (2) for any other individual, following the end of our fiscal year during which such individual reaches the age of 72.

Director Nominees

Our Board currently consists of three Class I directors (Messrs. J. Reese Lanier, Dennis M. Love and Clyde C. Tuggle), four Class II directors (Messrs. Thomas C. Chubb III, John R. Holder, J. Hicks Lanier and Clarence H. Smith) and four Class III directors (Mr. Thomas C. Gallagher, Mr. George C. Guynn, Ms. Helen Ballard and Mr. E. Jenner Wood III).

At our 2015 annual shareholders meeting, the terms of our Class II directors will expire. Mr. J. Hicks Lanier is retiring from the Board effective at the conclusion of the annual meeting.

Our Board, on the recommendation of our NC&G Committee, has nominated each of Mr. Thomas C. Chubb III, Mr. John R. Holder and Mr. Clarence H. Smith for election at our annual meeting, each to serve for a three year term expiring in 2018 and until his respective successor is elected and qualified.

[Table of Contents](#)

The terms of our Class I directors expire in 2017, and the terms of our Class III directors expire in 2016. Each of our Class I and Class III directors is expected to remain in office for the remainder of his or her respective term.

Required Vote

In an uncontested election at an annual meeting of shareholders, our bylaws require that each director be elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director (number of shares voted "for" a director must exceed the number of votes cast "against" that director). In accordance with our bylaws, in order for a shareholder to have nominated a director for consideration at the 2015 annual shareholders meeting, we must have received the nomination not later than the close of business on March 20, 2015. We have not received a shareholder nomination for a director for consideration at the 2015 annual shareholders meeting. Accordingly, the election of directors at the 2015 annual shareholders meeting is an uncontested election.

Under Georgia law, if, in an uncontested election at the annual meeting, a nominee who is already serving as a director is not elected, the director would continue to serve on our Board as a "holdover director." Under our bylaws, any holdover director who fails to be elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director in an uncontested election must offer to tender his or her resignation to our Board. Our Board, in consultation with any of its committees so designated, would then determine whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken. Under our bylaws, our Board is required to act on the resignation and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it within 90 days from the date the election results are certified. Each of the director nominees is currently serving on our Board.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for the election of directors. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named.

Each nominee has consented to serve if elected, and our Board has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected. If a nominee becomes unwilling or unable to serve prior to the annual meeting, then at the recommendation of our Board: (1) proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee selected by or at the direction of our Board; (2) the vacancy created by the inability or unwillingness of a nominee to serve will remain open until filled by our Board; or (3) our bylaws may be amended to reduce the number of directors serving on our Board.

Recommendation of our Board of Directors

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" EACH OF MR. THOMAS C. CHUBB, JOHN R. HOLDER AND MR. CLARENCE H. SMITH AS CLASS II DIRECTOR.

Proposal No. 2: Approval of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

At the recommendation of our Audit Committee, our Board has selected Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015. Ernst & Young LLP has served as our independent auditors since May 2002. As of the date of this proxy statement, we have engaged Ernst & Young LLP to review our financial statements for the first three quarters of fiscal 2015 but we have not formally engaged an independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for fiscal 2015.

Our Board considers Ernst & Young LLP to be well qualified and recommends that our shareholders vote to approve their selection. Shareholder approval of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by law; however, our Board considers the solicitation of shareholder approval to be in our company's and our shareholders' best interests. A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to attend the annual meeting. The representative will be given the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions from shareholders.

Required Vote

Approval of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015 requires the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock present at the annual meeting, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote on the proposal. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against this proposal. If at the annual meeting our shareholders do not approve the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent

registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015, our Board and Audit Committee will consider whether it is appropriate to select another independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2015 and/or future years.

Recommendation of our Board of Directors

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE SELECTION OF ~~BRUNSON~~ & LP TO SERVE AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2015.

Proposal No. 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

Executive Compensation

We are asking shareholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement. This "say-on-pay" proposal gives our shareholders the opportunity to express their views on our executive compensation practices. The vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement.

As further described above under "*Executive Compensation—Compensation Discussion and Analysis*," our executive compensation programs are designed to maintain a strong link between pay and performance for compensation paid to our named executive officers; align our named executive officers' interests with those of our shareholders by creating a strong focus on stock ownership; and ensure that we are able to attract and retain talented individuals who can deliver excellent business performance.

Proposed Resolution

We are asking our shareholders to vote on the following resolution at the annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion.

Required Vote

Approval of the say-on-pay resolution requires the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock present at the annual meeting, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote on the proposal. Because broker non-votes are counted as present at the annual meeting for quorum purposes but are not counted as entitled to vote on this proposal, they will have no effect on the vote on the resolution approving executive compensation. Abstentions will have the same effect as a vote against this proposal.

The vote on this say-on-pay proposal is advisory, and therefore the results of this proposal are not binding on our company, our NC&G Committee or our Board. The results of this proposal will not overrule any decision made by our Board or NC&G Committee. Our Board and our NC&G Committee value the input of our shareholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against this say-on-pay proposal, we will consider our shareholders' concerns and our NC&G Committee will evaluate whether any actions, in fiscal 2015 or in subsequent years, are necessary to address those concerns.

Recommendation of our Board of Directors

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Other Matters

Our Board knows of no other matters that will be brought before the annual meeting, and our bylaws do not allow proposals to be presented at the annual meeting unless they were properly presented to us prior to March 20, 2015. However, if any other question that requires a vote is properly presented at the meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy as the proxy holders will vote on such matters as recommended by our Board or, if no recommendation is given, in their discretion to the extent permitted under applicable law.

Approval of any other matter that properly comes before the annual meeting requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock present at the annual meeting, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote on the proposal (except as otherwise provided in our articles of incorporation or bylaws or applicable law for actions that require a greater percentage of votes in favor of a proposal).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Annual Report on Form 10-K

We will provide without charge, at the written request of any shareholder of record as of April 17, 2015, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2014, including the audited financial statements, as filed with the SEC, excluding exhibits. We will provide copies of the exhibits if they are requested by eligible shareholders. We may impose a reasonable fee for providing the exhibits. Requests for copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K should be mailed to our company's headquarters at Oxford Industries, Inc., 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 688, Atlanta, Georgia 30309, Attention: Investor Relations.

Submission of Director Candidates by Shareholders

Pursuant to our bylaws, to be timely, a director nomination by a shareholder must generally be delivered to our Secretary not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of the preceding year's annual meeting; however, if the annual meeting of shareholders is advanced more than 30 days prior to or delayed more than 30 days after the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting, a director nomination submitted by a shareholder to be timely must be delivered not later than the close of business on the later of (1) the 90th day prior to the annual meeting or (2) the 10th day following the date on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made. Any recommendation received by our Secretary will be promptly forwarded to the chair of our NC&G Committee for consideration. In order for a shareholder to nominate a director candidate for consideration at our 2016 annual shareholders meeting, we must receive notice of such nomination between February 18, 2016 and March 19, 2016 (inclusive) unless the date of our 2016 annual shareholders meeting is advanced more than 30 days prior to or delayed more than 30 days after June 17, 2016. Any such nominations must comply with the other requirements for proper nominations pursuant to our bylaws.

Our bylaws set out the specific requirements that a shareholder must satisfy in order to properly nominate a director candidate. Any shareholder filing a written notice of nomination for a director must describe various matters regarding the nominee and the shareholder, including, among other things, such information as name; address; occupation; shares, rights to acquire shares and other derivative securities held; and any relevant understandings or arrangements between the shareholder and affiliated parties, if any. A copy of the requirements for nominating a director candidate is available in print to any shareholder who so requests it. Requests for a copy of these requirements should be mailed to our company's headquarters at Oxford Industries, Inc., 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 688, Atlanta, GA 30309, Attention: Investor Relations.

In addition to candidates submitted by shareholders, our NC&G Committee will also consider candidates recommended by directors, management, third party search firms and other credible sources. Candidates recommended by any of these sources will be equally evaluated and considered. Our NC&G Committee will compile a complete list of candidates recommended from any viable source and evaluate each candidate. Each candidate will be evaluated in the context of the current composition of our Board, the current needs of our Board and the long-term interests of our shareholders. In making its evaluation of possible director candidates, our NC&G Committee will consider, among other things, issues such as a candidate's independence, expertise, age, diversity, general business knowledge and experience, financial literacy, availability and commitment. After evaluating each candidate, our NC&G Committee will determine which candidates it will recommend to the full Board.

Shareholder Proposals

Pursuant to our bylaws, in order for a shareholder proposal (other than a director nomination) to be considered at an annual meeting, the proposal must be delivered to our Secretary not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of the preceding year's annual meeting; however, if the annual meeting of shareholders is advanced more than 30 days prior to or delayed more than 30 days after the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting, in order to be timely, a shareholder proposal must be delivered not later than the close of business on the later of (1) the 90th day prior to the annual meeting or (2) the 10th day following the date on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made. Accordingly, in order for a shareholder proposal (other than a director nomination) to be considered at our 2016 annual shareholders meeting, we must receive the proposal between February 18, 2016 and March 19, 2016 (inclusive) unless the date of our 2016 annual shareholders meeting is advanced more than 30 days prior to or delayed more than 30 days after June 17, 2016.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our bylaws set out the specific requirements that a shareholder must satisfy in order to properly make a proposal for consideration by our shareholders at an annual meeting. Any shareholder submitting a proposal must describe various matters regarding the shareholder, including, among other things, such information as name; address; occupation; shares, rights to acquire shares and other derivative securities held; and any relevant understandings or arrangements between the shareholder and affiliated parties, if any. A copy of the requirements for submitting a shareholder proposal is available in print to any shareholder who so requests it. Requests for a copy of these requirements should be mailed to our company's headquarters at Oxford Industries, Inc., 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 688, Atlanta, GA 30309, Attention: Investor Relations.

Our bylaws further contemplate that shareholders who wish to have a proposal included in our proxy statement may be permitted to do so in accordance with Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act provided the proposal is otherwise in accordance with such Rule 14a-8. In order for a proposal to be included pursuant to Rule 14a-8 in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, it must be submitted in writing by January 16, 2016 and comply with the requirements of Rule 14a-8.


Communications to our Board of Directors

Mail can be addressed to our directors in care of the Office of the Secretary at our company's headquarters at Oxford Industries, Inc., 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 688, Atlanta, Georgia 30309. At the direction of our Board, all mail received will be opened and screened for security purposes. The mail will then be logged in. All mail, other than trivial or obscene items, will be forwarded. Trivial items will be delivered to our directors at the next scheduled meeting of our Board. Mail addressed to a particular director will be forwarded or delivered to that director. Mail addressed to "Outside Directors," "Non-Management Directors" or the "Presiding Independent Director" will be forwarded or delivered to our presiding independent director. Mail addressed to the "Board of Directors" will be forwarded or delivered to our Chairman.

Proxy Solicitation

We will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies by our Board in connection with the annual meeting. We will reimburse brokers, fiduciaries and custodians for reasonable expenses incurred by them in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock held in their names. Our employees may solicit proxies by mail, telephone, facsimile, electronic mail and personal interview. We have also engaged Okapi Partners to act as our proxy solicitor and have agreed to pay it \$6,500 for the year, plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, for such services.

By Order of the Board of Directors



Thomas E. Campbell
*Executive Vice President—Law and Administration,
General Counsel and Secretary*

Our Fiscal 2014 Annual Report to Shareholders, which includes audited financial statements, accompanies this proxy statement.

▼ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ▼

OXFORD

Proxy — Oxford Industries, Inc.

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 17, 2015

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The executing shareholder(s) appoints THOMAS C. CHUBB III, THOMAS E. CAMPBELL and K. SCOTT GRASSMYER, and each of them, proxies, with full power of substitution, for and in the name of the executing shareholder(s), to vote all shares of the common stock of Oxford Industries, Inc. that the executing shareholder(s) would be entitled to vote if personally present at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Wednesday, June 17, 2015, at 3:00 p.m., local time, at The Fifth Floor Conference Center, located at 999 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof, upon the matters described in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, receipt of which is acknowledged, and upon any other business that may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Said persons are directed to vote as indicated on the reverse side, and otherwise in their discretion, as recommended by the Board of Directors, upon any other business.

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED, OR IF NO DIRECTION IS INDICATED, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED "FOR" EACH OF THE DIRECTOR NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1, "FOR" PROPOSALS 2 AND 3, AND IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXIES, AS RECOMMENDED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, ON SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED UNDER APPLICABLE LAW.

See reverse for voting instructions.